

**FURTHER
REPRESENTATIONS**

8 The Glebe
Tannadice
Angus
DD8 3RP

14/12/2014

Dear Ms Maille,

I object to the application for the same reasons I objected to the previous two applications, both of which were withdrawn, and my objection still stands.

I cannot understand why the applicants would think that a single turbine, which will have much bigger blades, a 200kw power source will be better than two 100kw turbines, the visual impact remains and the noise, shadow flicker will be twice as bad, are we certain that there will be no disruption to communication signals, and health risks have still not been conclusively ruled out. Angus council have already passed one of these money making monstrosities at Broom Farm, which is less than 2km away from this proposed site, surely that falls within your own wind energy assessment which states there needs to be a 3km separation distance.

Another 2 turbines have now gone up near Memus, which again is very close to the village, and since being erected have barely worked., it took at least 2 months for one of them to start working, so what was the great rush in getting them erected.

If the power generated is for personal consumption, why the need for such a huge turbine, the simple answer is greed, we all know the government subsidies are a huge profit making exercise for the individuals who want make as much profit as possible, at the expense of our wonderful, peaceful countryside and the people who have worked hard and are still working hard, to live in quiet unspoiled area.

There are other options available to produce the green energy the owners of Kalula house can implement if they choose to do so, that would have much less impact on the area and the community, but perhaps this would not be as profitable for them.

I live in Tannadice, which is a beautiful village with a strong community, it is also a conservation village, where a neighbour was not allowed to erect a 5ft fence because it was considered too high, and not in keeping with the surrounds, and a 44 mtr turbine is in keeping?.

I was pleased to see the council rejected the application, and gave clear reasons why, so why now are the applicants trying to get the decision reviewed, I hope they take on board the strong feelings of their surrounding neighbours as to why this should not be allowed to go through.

Katherine Ryan.

GuthrieP

From: John Grieve [REDACTED]
Sent: 16 December 2014 13:11
To: MaillieK
Subject: Planning application review No 13/01067/full - DMRC- 16 -14

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Ms Maillie

Further to your recent communication re the above appeal I would like to raise the following further points in addition to my original objections which stand.

This appeal represents the the latest in a series of attempts to push this development through and the applicant (or the consultant or contractor involved) and appears to be determined not to take account of the legitimate objections of the local community which have been upheld in successive applications. Whilst we can appreciate the desire of the developer to exhaust every possibility to have the application approved clearly there is no justification for overturning the previous decision, particularly given there is no change to the application.

Since this application was submitted there have been three wind turbines erected locally all of which impact adversely on local amenity, landscape and views with no evident benefit to the local community. Indeed the turbine erected at Broom Farm demonstrates clearly just how visually and contextually inappropriate this proposed turbine and its scale would be in the proposed location, one of the few unspoiled local sightlines left. This location would dominate two of the approaches to Tannadice leaving only the route from Finavon with no direct proximity to wind turbines, turbines would thus become a defining features of the local landscape surrounding this conservation village. Clearly as their local impact would now compound that of these newly installed developments this application can no longer be considered in isolation. The cumulative impact of this development would be even more detrimental than at the time of the original decision to refuse the application.

yours sincerely
John Grieve

--

John Grieve
Director
The Rural Development Company Ltd
[REDACTED]

GuthrieP

From: ANNA CIPARS [REDACTED]
Sent: 18 December 2014 10:23
To: MaillieK
Subject: Re: APPLICATION FOR REVIEW R & A Renewables, Field 180M North West of Kalula House, East Murthill, Forfar Applicaiton No 13/01067/FULL - DMRC 16-14

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Karen, I am letting you know that I still have an objection to the erection of the wind turbine no 13/01067/full - dmrc 16 - 14. I do have difficulty accepting those which are put up for personal households, as they are not only obtrusive on the landscape but only benefit those persons who can afford them. We all then have to live with the eyesore. I have little, to no objection, for farmers and businesses to be given planning permission as they have heavy overheads to cope with and their livelihoods are affected. As I mentioned previously, this property has a burn running through it and this could facilitate electricity generation. Then the landscape will not suffer despoiling. Regards. Anna Cipars. Tannadice.

---Original message---

From : MaillieK@angus.gcsx.gov.uk

Date : 09/12/2014 - 17:08 (GMTST)

To : [REDACTED]

Subject : APPLICATION FOR REVIEW R & A Renewables, Field 180M North West of Kalula House, East Murthill, Forfar Applicaiton No 13/01067/FULL - DMRC 16-14

Dear Madam

Please find attached letter dated 9 December 2014 for your consideration.

Regards
Karen

Karen Maillie / Committee Officer / Legal and Democratic Services/ Resources / Angus Council / Angus House / Orchardbank Business Park / Forfar / DD8 1AN/ Tel: 01307 476265 / MaillieK@angus.gov.uk

This message is strictly confidential. If you have received this in error, please inform the sender and remove it from your system. If received in error you may not copy, print, forward or use it or any attachment in any way. This message is not capable of creating a legal contract or a binding representation and does not represent the views of Angus Council. Emails may be monitored for security and network management reasons. Messages containing inappropriate content may be intercepted. Angus Council does not accept any liability for any harm that may be caused to the recipient system or data on it by this message or any attachment.

This email was scanned by the Government Secure Intranet anti-virus service supplied by Vodafone in partnership with Symantec. (CCTM Certificate Number 2009/09/0052.) In case of problems, please call your organisations IT Helpdesk.

Communications via the GSi may be automatically logged, monitored and/or recorded for legal purposes.

GuthrieP

From: Stuart MacGregor [REDACTED]
Sent: 19 December 2014 13:25
To: MaillieK
Subject: Application for Review - R&A Renewables, Field 180m North West of Kalula House, East Murthill Forfar

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear Ms. Maillie,

I write with regard to your letter dated 9th December regarding this matter.

With regard to this particular wind turbine planning application, I strongly agree with the Council's decision to refuse planning permission. As you drive into Tannadice from the A90, you now clearly see the turbine at Broomhall, as well as the two turbines at East Memus. A further turbine at Kalula House would not be a welcome addition to that view. It would also present a dominating view for many living within Tannadice and its environs. Additionally, I believe that the council has taken on board what is very strong opposition to the turbine by the local community in Tannadice.

Yours sincerely,

Stuart MacGregor

7 The Glebe, Tannadice

GuthrieP

From: JAMES MACGREGOR [REDACTED]
Sent: 21 December 2014 09:37
To: MaillieK
Subject: Application for Review - R&A Renewables, Field 180m North West of Kalula House, East Murthill Forfar

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Dear M. Maillie,

I refer to your letter of 9th December concerning a request for review of the earlier decision taken by the Service Manager (Planning) Communities to refuse the application to erect a turbine at East Murthill. I wish to confirm that I was, and remain, in full support of the decision by Council.

Since that time we have seen one turbine built at Broomhall and two others at Memus, all visible to our property, and to add another at Kalula would be disagreeable in the extreme. As it is, entry to Tannadice from Finavon once peaceful and rural, is already marred by these turbines and to add another at Kalula would blight an attractive and peaceful Angus village.

With regard to this particular wind turbine planning application, I strongly agree with the Council's decision to refuse planning permission.

Yours sincerely,
James MacGregor,
Den of Baldoukie
Tannadice