

ANGUS COUNCIL

MINUTE of MEETING of the **DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT REVIEW COMMITTEE** held in Arbroath Library, Hill Terrace, Arbroath on Thursday 17 December 2015 at 11.00am.

Present: Councillors DAVID LUMGAIR, BILL BOWLES, JEANETTE GAUL and ALEX KING.

Councillor LUMGAIR, Convener, in the Chair.

1. APOLOGIES/SUBSTITUTES

There were no apologies intimated.

2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST/INTIMATIONS OF CONTACT

Councillors Lumgair, Bowles, Gaul and King each declared an interest in item 4, Report No 482/15 as they had each received e-mail correspondence with regard to the application. They indicated that they had made no comment and would therefore participate in any discussion and voting.

3. MINUTE OF PREVIOUS MEETING

The minute of meeting of this Committee of 24 November 2015 was approved as a correct record and signed by the Convener.

4. FIELD 750M NORTH WEST OF BOLSHAN FARM, BOLSHAN, ARBROATH

There was submitted Report No 482/15 by the Head of Legal and Democratic Services detailing an application for a review in respect of the non-determination of application No 15/00415/FULL in respect of planning permission for the erection of a wind turbine at field 750m north west of Bolshan Farm, Bolshan, Arbroath.

The Committee noted that an unaccompanied site visit had taken place earlier that day. The Committee then gave careful consideration to the papers submitted by the appointed person and by the applicant and determined that they had sufficient information to consider the review at this meeting.

Following discussion, the Committee agreed that, having considered the relevant information and having given full consideration to the case submitted by the Development Management Section of the Communities Directorate and the applicant, it was the Committee's view that application No 15/00415/FULL be refused as the proposed turbine, by virtue of its height and location close to housing and prominence in the landscape, would have an unacceptable landscape and visual impact and accordingly the siting and appearance of the turbine had not been chosen to minimise impact on amenity. As such, the proposal was contrary to policies ER5, ER34 and S6 of the Angus Local Plan Review.