AGENDA ITEM NO 10

REPORT NO 156/15

ANGUS COUNCIL

COMMUNITIES COMMITTEE – 26 MAY 2015

ANGUS COUNCIL (VARIATION OF WAITING RESTRICTIONS) ORDER 2015

REPORT BY THE HEAD OF TECHNICAL AND PROPERTY SERVICES

ABSTRACT

Previous Committees have agreed the promotion of waiting restrictions at various locations in Angus. This report documents the outcome of the statutory consultation with objection to the proposals at three sites and recommends abandoning one site; amending one site; and the making of an Order for the purpose of varying the provisions of current Traffic Orders in respect of waiting restrictions at the other locations.

1 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

It is recommended that the Committee note the objections received during the publication period and approve the making of the Order including the proposed amendments following the statutory consultation.

2 BACKGROUND

There are a number of parking restrictions proposals covered by variations Traffic Orders which have previously been agreed by Committee through previous reports as set out at the end of this report.

Reference is made to Article 14 of the minute of meeting of the Infrastructure Services Committee held on 20 August 2013 when the Committee agreed to defer consideration of the proposed Order in respect of St James Road/East Sunnyside Forfar and Castle Street/Seagate/Shore Wynd Montrose to a subsequent meeting of the Committee pending further information including the views of all local members.

Reference is made to Article 21 of the minute of meeting of the Infrastructure Services Committee held on 28 May 2013 and Article 16 of the minute of meeting of the Infrastructure Services Committee held on 20 August 2013 and Articles 3 and 10 of the minute of meeting of the Infrastructure Services Committee held on 19 November 2013 and Article 18 of the minute of meeting of the Communities Committee held on 21 January 2014 and Article 10 of the minute of meeting of the Communities Committee held on 27 May 2014 authorising and instructing the preparation of an Order to vary the provisions of Traffic Orders relating to waiting restrictions in Arbroath, Carnoustie, Forfar, Kirriemuir, Monifieth and Montrose.

With the exception of the above sites, Committee has previously agreed the promotion of the remaining Traffic Orders and this report sets out the outcome of the statutory consultations. The details of the objections are put before Committee for their determination in accordance with Standing Orders.

Details of all of the locations are shown on the attached **List of Proposals** in Appendix 1 with those which are the subject of objections shown in bold type.

3 CONSULTATION AND PUBLICATION

The Notice of Proposals was published in the Courier and Advertiser on 30 May 2014. In addition Public Notices were erected in all of the affected streets.

In response to the publication of the proposals, 3 letters of objection to the proposals were received. With regard to those proposals where objections were received, the schedule annexed to this report details the proposed restrictions with reference to plans, brief details of

the objectors, the grounds for their concerns and the view of the Head of Technical and Property Services. Copies of correspondence in connection with objections are attached to the report for consideration in Appendix 2.

As a result the proposals at Lochty Street Carnoustie are recommended to be cancelled; and the proposals at Beechwood Place Kirriemuir are recommended to be shortened; the proposals at Rosemount Road Arbroath are recommended as previously agreed by Committee as per Appendix 3.

All other proposed change, to which there were no objections during the consultation process are recommended as previously agreed by Committee.

Plans of all of the finalised proposals are given in Appendix 4

4 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

The estimated cost of implementing the Traffic Order proposals is £4,000 which will be met from the 2015/2016 Communities Roads Division (Traffic) Revenue Budget. Additional maintenance costs will amount to £700 per annum and this will require to be provided for in future years Roads Maintenance Revenue Budgets.

The estimated costs of amending the boundary wall at Lochty Street Carnoustie is £2,500 which will be met from the 2015/2016 Communities Roads Division (Traffic) Revenue Budget. There are no on going future costs.

5 CONSULTATION

The Chief Executive, Strategic Director - Resources, the Head of Corporate Improvements and Finance, Head of Legal and Democratic Services and the Local Police Commander of Tayside Division were consulted in the preparation of this report.

Ian Cochrane, Head of Technical and Property Services

Email: <u>CommunitiesBusinessSupport@angus.gov.uk</u>

Appendix 1 - List of Proposals

- Appendix 2 Objectors' correspondence
- Appendix 3 Schedule
- Appendix 4 Plans of Proposals

NOTE:

The following background papers, as defined by Section 50D of the Local Government (Scotland) Act 1973 (other than any containing confidential or exempt information), were relied on to any material extent in preparing this Report:-

Infrastructure Services Committee	28 May 2013	Report No. 325/13
Infrastructure Services Committee	20 August 2013	Report No. 457/13
Infrastructure Services Committee	20 August 2013	Report No. 459/13
Infrastructure Services Committee	19 November 2013	Report No. 620/13
Infrastructure Services Committee	19 November 2013	Report No. 621/13
Communities Committee	21 January 2014	Report No. 36/14
Communities Committee	27 May 2014	Report No. 245/14

THE ANGUS COUNCIL (VARIATION OF WAITING RESTRICTIONS) ORDER 2014

LIST OF PROPOSALS

- To introduce 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions in order to improve visibility and prevent obstruction at the following junctions:-Clova Avenue/Glenisla Drive, Hamilton Street/Glenisla Drive, Elmfield Avenue/Elmbank Crescent and Ness Drive/Ness Drive (Northwest Leg), all Arbroath; Arbroath Road/Panbride Street, Carnoustie; Orchard Loan/Berrymoss Lane, Forfar; Brent Avenue/Brent Avenue (North Leg),Castle Street/Shore Wynd/Seagate and Nursery Road /Nursery Crescent, all Montrose.
- To introduce 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions in order to relieve general congestion and maintain free traffic flow in the following roads:-Charles Avenue, Clova Avenue, East Kirkton Road, Elmfield Avenue, Elmbank Crescent, Glenisla Drive, Horologe Hill and Viewfield Road, all Arbroath; Caesar Avenue, Carnoustie; Orchard Loan and Calder Place, both Forfar and Brent Avenue, Montrose.
 Rosemount Road Arbroath; Beechwood Place, Kirriemuir
- To introduce 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions in order to protect access to premises in in the following roads:-Viewfield Road, Arbroath, Anderson Street Carnoustie and Berrymoss Lane, Forfar.
 Lochty Street Carnoustie
- To introduce 'No Daytime Waiting' restrictions in order to relieve general congestion and maintain free traffic flow in in the following roads:-Caesar Avenue, Carnoustie and Wemyss Crescent, Monifieth
- Replace existing 'Limited Waiting' restrictions with 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions in order to prevent obstruction at the junction of High Street/John Street, Montrose.

APPENDIX 2

Our Ref: GH/DAM/CM

Rosemount Road Property Owners

TO2.2

[CC69306]



COMMUNITIES Strategic Director: Alan McKeown

Dear Sir

12 May 2015

c/o Trevor Forge

ARBROATH

DD11 2AU

10 Rosemount Road

THE ANGUS COUNCIL (VARIATION OF WAITING RERSTRICTIONS) ORDER 201X.

I refer to your letter dated 6 April 2015 regarding the above.

Unfortunately determination of this matter has been further delayed and I write to advise you that a report will now be placed before the Communities Committee on Tuesday 26 May 2015 with a recommendation that the proposed restrictions at Rosemount Road Arbroath be approved as currently drafted. A decision on the introduction of these restrictions will therefore be made by that Committee.

I am aware that the relocation of the Community SCO Services has not yet been carried out and my latest information is that it will be mid August before this is completed. Notwithstanding the decision made by the Committee It is not my intention to mark the proposed restrictions in Rosemount Road until after the staff relocation to Bruce House along with their 'Clios' or 'Nissans' has been completed.

As stated in previous letters on this subject you or a representative of your group may attend the Committee and apply to address the Committee.

I trust the above is of assistance however if you have any further queries please contact Daniel Munro on (01307) 473395 or email at <u>munroda@angus.gov.uk</u>.

Yours faithfully

p.p.

Graham Harris Traffic Manager

Cc Head of Legal & Democratic Services

Roads | County Buildings | Market Street | Fortor | DD8 3WR | LP8 Fortar T: (01307) 461460 | F: (01307) 473388 | E: roads@angus.gov.uk | www.angus.gov.uk

() INVESTORS

Rosemount Road Property Owners DD11 2AU

6th April 2015

FAO Graham Harris Traffic Manager		
Angus House	69306	4471
Orchardbank Business Park Forfar DD8 1AN	0 8 API	2015
Dear Mr Harris	(AB)	×

Ref: 1572460

Introduction of "No Waiting at Any Time" Restrictions - Rosemount Road, Abroath

OBJECTION TO "VARIATION OF WAITING RESTRICTIONS"

I write with reference to your letter dated 1st April 2015. I have copied your letter to the other owners.

Many thanks for the update.

We note that nothing has happened regarding the relocation of the Community Nursing Service. Two deadlines have now passed and the Clios have been replaced with "64 plate Nissans". Inconsiderate parking of "work and staff" cars has worsened, and there appears to a blatant boycott of the car park at times.

We feel that no decision regarding the introduction of yellow lines should be made until a reasonable period has elapsed following the relocation of the Community Nursing Service

Unfortunately due to work commitments I will not be able to attend the Communities Committee meeting on Tuesday 14th April.

With kind regards, on behalf of the other property owners,

Trevor A. Forge 10 Rosemount Road Arbroath DD11 2AU

.

Our Ref GH/DAM/FJ TO2.2 (CC67924)

3 September 2014



COMMUNITIES Strategic Director: Alan McKeown

Rosemount Road Property Owners c/o Trevor Forge 10 Rosemount Road ARBROATH DD11 2AU

Dear Sir

The Angus Council (Variation of Waiting Restrictions) Order 201x

I refer to your letter dated 23 August 2014 regarding the above.

The main reason for proposing the removal of kerbside parking opposite your properties is to reduce the potential for congestion and to maintain free traffic flow on this road.

The low level of recorded accident history at the nearby crossroads at Nolt Loan Road/Alexandra Place/Addison Place/Rosemount Road shows the junction to perform safely. The improvement in traffic flow as a result of the proposed waiting restrictions on the main road is not anticipated to have a significant affect on the performance of this junction, but this will be monitored.

I am advised that the proposed relocation of the Community Nursing Service from Rosemount Road to Bruce House is due to take place in October 2014 which would remove a significant number of parked vehicles from this vicinity. In the event that the proposed waiting restrictions are approved then the lines would not be marked on the ground prior to the relocation of the Community Nursing Service staff and associated vehicles.

The relocation of the Community Nursing Service staff and associated vehicles may result in a change to the parking patterns in Rosemount Road and other surrounding streets. It may be that at that time no parking will occur opposite your properties on Rosemount Road however the introduction of the waiting restrictions will ensure that this does not occur and thereby maintain free traffic flow.

Traffic speeds on Rosemount Road can be monitored after the introduction of the proposed waiting restrictions. There are no current proposals to introduce speed reducing measures.

Roads | County Buildings | Market Street | Forfar | DD8 3WR | LP8 Forfar | T: (01307) 461460 | F: (01307) 473388 | E: roads@angus.gov.uk | www.angus.gov.uk With regard to your request for consideration to be given to a 20 mph limit, the following points should be noted:-

- Current Angus Council Speed Limit Strategy, which follows Scottish Government guidance, indicates that 20mph speed limit zones should be selfenforcing by means of physical traffic calming measures (road humps) which I note that you agree would not be appropriate at this location.
- ii. Further, it is recommended that the introduction of mandatory 20mph speed limits where there are no physical traffic calming measures should only be considered where traffic speeds are already low and below a predetermined threshold level (24mph). It is also noted that such limits should not be considered where there is no realistic expectation that they will achieve the required decrease in traffic speeds or where police are unable to give an undertaking to provide an effective level of enforcement.
- iii. Advisory 20mph speed limits by their nature cannot be enforced and it has been found over the years that the initial effect soon wears off. Before and after studies have shown only very minor reduction in speeds and as such Angus Council no longer proposes the introduction of advisory 20mph speed limits.

On the above basis the situation at Rosemount Road would not justify the introduction of a 20mph speed limit.

Notwithstanding the above it is noted that your objection to these proposals is not withdrawn and as such the procedure dictates that a report is placed before the Communities Committee, in this case almost certainly on Tuesday 30 September 2014. Coples of all correspondence between us will be made available for consideration by Members in advance of the meeting.

You may attend the Committee and apply to address the Committee. I attach copies of leaflets pertaining thereto which may be of assistance.

I trust the above is of assistance however if you have any queries please contact Daniel Munro on 01307 473395.

Yours faithfully

Graham Harris Traffic Manager

Cc Head of Legal & Democratic Services

		RESPOND BY	51
Rosemo	unt Road Property Owners	T () 1.500	۰.
FAO Graham Harris Traffic Manager Angus House Orchardbank Business Park Forfar DD8 1AN	DD11 2AU ANGUS FLOADS DIVISION No.677224HD To GLA 1 142 3 4 5 27 AUG 2014 RESPONSE REOD [] ANSHLE REF	23 rd August 2014	

2-

Dear Mr Harris

Ref: 1572460

0

0

Introduction of "No Waiting at Any Time" Restrictions - Rosemount Road, Abroath

OBJECTION TO "VARIATION OF WAITING RESTRICTIONS"

On behalf of the "Rosemount Road Property Owners" | reply to your letter of 12th August 2014.

At a meeting of the property owners on 22nd August we discussed the correspondence from lan Cochrane and from yourself.

We have major concerns about the traffic speeds on Rosemount Road. A recent situation is highlighted in the letter (copy enclosed) from Lorraine Watt (Rosemount's Little Rascals Nursery) regarding this issue. We are still of the opinion that the introduction of additional waiting restrictions will increase the average speed of traffic. We are also concerned about the potential increase in accidents at the crossroads – Nolt Loan Road, Rosemount Road, Addison Place.

We note that the Community Nursing Service unit is to be relocated to Bruce House later in the year. We would like to know the date that the service is to be transferred. We agree that this will free up at least 13 Clio spaces and possibly the same if not more staff car spaces. Most of the Clios and staff cars are still parked on the street. We are concerned that the inappropriate parking of these cars outside the property owners' premises seems to have escalated recently. We are continuing to monitor this situation. We have photographic evidence of this.

We note from Ian Cochrane's letter of the 29th July 2014 that there will be "no formal monitoring of the waiting restriction". We have concerns about this. If a change is to be considered there should be evidence before and after the event.

Our group would suggest that:

- no decision is made regarding the "Variation in Waiting Restrictions" until a settling in period of perhaps 2 months after the relocation of the Community Nursing Services to Bruce House.
- the situation is reassessed after the above regarding speed of traffic monitored by the Council and Police – perhaps electronically.
- consideration is taken regarding a 20mph limit in the area around the Hospital and the Nursery as a speed reducing measure. Perhaps an electronic display and prominent signage could be used. We agree that speed bumps would not be appropriate.

Finally, in the third last paragraph of your letter, you requested our group to consider the matter further and advise you if we were willing to withdraw our objections. In view of the points raised above we will not be withdrawing our objections. We understand that a report will be placed before the Communities Committee on Tuesday 30th September 2014. We would like to know whether this Committee meets "in camera" or if members of the public are allowed to attend.

With kind regards, on behalf of the other property owners,

Trevor A. Forge 10 Rosemount Road Arbroath DD11 2AU

0

possimunt's Little Rescol



Rosemount's Little Rascals 12 Rosemount Road Arbroath DD11 2AU

01242 876777

_ist August 2014

Dear Trevor,

I am writing to say that I received your invitation today but unfortunately I am in Edinburgh this weekend and therefore unable to attend.

I wish to say that you have my whole hearted support in this matter and also the following: Graeme Deys letter the response form Police Scotland, contains incorrect information. We reported an incident when a speeding driver nearly collided with children and staff crossing the road. (All children were in hi visibility jackets the driver sped up when he saw them.) Police attended the nursery to investigate and we asked them to undertake speed gun activity. We view speed calming measures as a child protection issue in this area.

offer my formal support of any decisions the group makes.

Yours Sincerely

Lorraine Watt

12 Resencent Reed, Arbreath, DD11 2AU Telephone (01241) 876777 / Fox (01241) 876985 GH/DAM/SC TO2.2

12 August 2014



COMMUNITIES Strategic Director: Alan McKeown

Rosemount Road Property Owners c/o Trevor Forge 10 Rosemount Road ARBROATH DD11 2AU

Dear Sir

 \bigcirc

0

The Angus Council (Variation of Walling Restrictions) Order 201x Rosemount Road Arbroath

I refer to your letter dated 18 June 2014 to the Councils Head of Legal & Democratic Services regarding the above.

I note your objections to the current proposals for Rosemount Road and I respond to the points which you raise as follows.

The current proposals arose following the formation of the new car park opposite Arbroath Infirmary and the introduction of waiting restrictions around the car park entrance to provide good visibility sightlines and maintain safe access and egress.

It was the wish of the local elected members that with the provision of this new parking facility on Rosemount Road that the introduction of additional waiting restrictions be considered in this area.

It is accepted that the removal of parking from one side of the street may result in an increase in traffic speeds. However, Rosemount Road and Addison Place are important, busy routes to and from Angus College, Arbroath Infirmary, etc and the congestion which arises due to kerbside parking is of concern in terms of pedestrian and traffic safety and free traffic flow. The enforcement of speed limits is a matter for Police Scotland and any traffic related incidents arising in this vicinity should be reported directly to them.

Whilst the proposed restrictions would result in the loss of approx. 10 kerbside parking places, these can certainly be accommodated overnight in the car park opposite Arbroath Infirmary. I note your comments about excessive and inappropriate parking by the Community Nursing Service staff vehicles and 'white clios' and I appreciate that this is currently creating an issue at this location. However, I am advised by the Head of Adult Services that it is intended to relocate this service to Bruce House later this year. Clearly this would potentially free up a significant

Roads | County Buildings | Market Street | Forfar | DD8 3WR | LP8 Forfar | T: (01307) 461460 | F: (01307) 473388 | E: roads@angus.gov.uk | www.angus.gov.uk O INVESTORS IN PEOPLE number of kerbside parking spaces for use by residents and spaces within the car park opposite the Infirmary for patients/visitors thereto.

The introduction of traffic calming measures such as road humps are assessed on an individual site by site basis with many aspects taken into consideration not just traffic speeds. The type of vehicles which use this route must also be considered and with ambulances and other vehicles associated with the nearby infirmary regularly travelling along Rosemount Road and also being a bus route, could make it unsuitable for physical traffic calming measures.

Unfortunately, no one can be guaranteed to be able to park on street outside their property. The provision of 'residents only parking zones' or similar schemes would involve the Council in significant costs in terms of their setting up and management. These costs would require to be passed on the affected residents who may be unwilling to meet them. Further such schemes are only successful if the appropriate level of enforcement can be provided and currently Police Scotland are unlikely to provide such enforcement. As such Angus Council does not support the introduction of such facilities and this is unlikely to change in the foreseeable future.

I trust the above provides some background as to the reasons for the proposed introduction of waiting restrictions in Rosemount Road, as published.

I would therefore be obliged if you and your fellow Rosemount Road property owners would consider the matter and advise me if you are willing to withdraw your objection to the proposals.

Please note that where there are objections which are not withdrawn then the procedure dictates that a report is placed before the Communities Committee, in this case almost certainly on Tuesday 30 September 2014, and copies of all correspondence between us will be made available for consideration by Members in advance of the meeting.

If calling or telephoning please contact Daniel Munro on 01307 473395 or by fax on 01307 473388 or by email on <u>MunroDA@angus.gov.uk</u>.

Yours faithfully

6.

£

Graham Harris Traffic Manager

cc Head of Legal & Democratic Services

Rosemount Road Property Owners DD11 2AU

18th June 2014

Head of Legal and Democratic Services Angus House Orchardbank Business Park Forfar DD8 1AN

RECEIVED LEGAL & DET ASEATIC SERVICES 23 2 3 TIMES. 30 INTO Smg

Dear Sir/Madam Ref : 1572460 Introduction of "No Waiting at Any Time" Restrictions -- Rosemount Road, Abroath

OBJECTION TO "VARIATION OF WAITING RESTRICTIONS"

As Property owners we, the undersigned, object unanimously to the above on the following grounds.

Safety

0

1)

Although we agree that these measures may be beneficial they will cause other problems. As owners we have experience of the increase in speed at night-time when the road is quleter and there is only parking on one side. This "race-track" phenomenon will occur 24 hours a day if the yellow lines appear. At present Addison Place has mostly one sided parking – It has a higher average speed than Rosemount Road. There will inevitably be an increase in the amount and severity of accidents at the crossroads with Nolt Loan Road and Alexandra Place.

Reduction in Parking Capacity

Carrying out these measures will reduce the capacity for parking in this area by approximately 10 vehicles. This will have a knock on effect for the existing car parks in and opposite the infirmary. Tayside Health Board is applying for Planning Consent to build a 4 surgery Dental Clinic on the Arbroath Infirmary site. No additional onsite parking has been allowed for this facility. When all of this is factored in the knock on effect will be more congestion in all of the side streets in this area and on the Householders' side of the road.

Inconsiderate Parking and Lack of Foresight Community Nursing

When the Community Nursing facility was opened there was very little thought given to where the "White Clios" and the staff cars were to be parked. The overflow car park was a knee jerk reaction to mostly the householders' complaints to local Town Councillors. We were assured that these vehicles would be parked for a maximum of 15 minutes. This has never been the case, especially for the private cars. We were assured that all the vehicles would be parked off street – this has never been the case. Those of us who have been property owners for over 10 years recognise that the opening of this facility has caused most of the congestion problems. Most of the time the Clios are parked inconsiderately on our side of the road. It would be nice at times to be able to park outside our own properties, especially when transporting heavy or bulky items, instead of streets away.

Traffic Calming Measure

We all realise that the congestion and safety problems caused by bilateral parking is not ideal. This acts however as an artificial traffic calming facility. The traffic is slowed as discussed earlier. If this variation goes ahead as proposed – permanent traffic calming measures will inevitably have to be introduced – 20mph zone and speed bumps.

Property Owners Parking Bay

If this variation goes ahead we as property would appreciate some assurances that we will be able to park outside our own properties unhindered by "Clios" and Community Nursing Staff private vehicles. This could be achieved with the introduction of a "Property Owners Parking Bay."

Yours without prejudice

3

)

Name &LANR RENNIE Sig	gnature	2 Rosemount Road
Name Awars MCGAL Sig	gnature -	4 Rosemount Road
Name LINOA MCJONALO SIE	gnature	6 Rosemount Road
Name L. BUCHAN SIE	gnature	8 Rosemount Road
Name TREVOK FORGE SI	gnature	10 Rosemount Road
Name LORRAWEWATT Sig	gnature	12 Rosemount Road

From:	a second s
Sent:	06 November 2014 18:01
To:	MunroDA
Subject:	RE: FW CC67589: Letter-Variation of Waiting Restrictions-Lochty St, Carnoustie

Dear Sir

As detailed in my prior letter of objection and I do appreciate your clarification of the procedural responsibilities of the various parties involved .

I have referred all matters and copy correspondence as detailed previously to the local elected representative and am awaiting their input which I would hope to have soon .

I can confirm that my objection to the proposal remains in place

Regards

Jim Stewart

From: MunroDA@angus:gov.uk

To:

Subject: RE: FW CC67589: Letter-Variation of Waiting Restrictions-Lochty St, Carnoustie Date: Wed, 5 Nov 2014 11:00:05 +0000

Dear Sir

I refer to previous emails regarding the above.

As you will recall I advised you previously that due to objections to items within the Order then the decision as to whether these items proceed or not is now a matter for the Communities Committee to determine.

Due to delays in relation to various matters pertaining to the overall Order it is now anticipated that a report will not now go to the Communities Committee until 20 January 2015 for determination.

Notwithstanding the above, for clarification, the Head of Technical & Property Services remains of the opinion that waiting restrictions are required in Lochty Street in order to assist traffic exiting the Access Office car park and turning right

as required by the current one-way traffic restriction. The proposed waiting restrictions have been amended from the original proposal of 5 metre extension of double yellow lines (No Waiting at Any Time) restrictions to the current

5 metres length of single yellow line (No Waiting, Mon - Sat, 8.30am - 5.30pm).

On the above basis can you please confirm that your objection to the current revised proposals are not withdrawn.

Yours

From:	
Sent:	29 September 2014 22:22
To:	MunroDA
Subject:	RE: FW CC67589: Letter-Variation of Waiting Restrictions-Lochty St, Carnoustie

Dear Mr Munro

Thanks for that I am sure I will find the details in the prior email trail .

I note your comments and note the councillors involvement involvement with the committee .Clearly my comment re referral to Councillor Oswald was due to her association with Age concern and Angus Community Care etc and clearly felt she would have some affinity with the elderly residents and clearly will be able to get greater insight into the cost of alternatives

I will review matters and the relevant procedures required and progress as appropriate due to an inability for matters to be resolved satisfactorily

Regards and thanks for your clarification of matters

Jim Stewart

From: MunroDA@angus.gov.uk

To: r

Subject: RE: FW CC67589: Letter-Variation of Waiting Restrictions-Lochty St, Carnoustie Date: Mon, 29 Sep 2014 14:20:03 +0000

Mr Stewart

From your reply I will assume that you wish to maintain your objection to the proposals at Lochty Street Carnoustie.

Please note that this is not a matter that the local elected members in Carnoustie can themselves resolve.

As this proposal has been formally published and objections are outstanding then the decision as to whether this item proceeds or not is now a matter for the Communities Committee to determine.

You may recall that I forwarded to you information on Council Committee meetings and procedures relating to deputations. If you require a further copy let me know. I would remind you that the matter has been deferred until the Communities Committee on 18 November 2014

Further information on Committees/members, etc. are available on the Councils website.

Yours

Dan

Daniel Munro | Traffic Engineer | Angus Council | COMMUNITIES | Roads | County Buildings | Market Street | Forfar | DD8 3WR | Tel: 01307 473395

1

From:	MunroDA
Sent:	29 September 2014 15:20
To:	
Subject:	RE: FW CC67589: Letter-Variation of Waiting Restrictions-Lochty St, Carnoustie

49

Mr Stewart

From your reply I will assume that you wish to maintain your objection to the proposals at Lochty Street Carnoustie,

Please note that this is not a matter that the local elected members in Carnoustie can themselves resolve.

As this proposal has been formally published and objections are outstanding then the decision as to whether this item proceeds or not is now a matter for the Communities Committee to determine.

You may recall that I forwarded to you information on Council Committee meetings and procedures relating to deputations. If you require a further copy let me know. I would remind you that the matter has been deferred until the Communities Committee on 18 November 2014

Further information on Committees/members, etc. are available on the Councils website.

Yours

Dan

Daniel Munro | Traffic Engineer | Angus Council | COMMUNITIES | Roads | County Buildings | Market Street | Forfar | DD8 3WR | Tel: 01307 473395

From: Sent: 27 September 2014 17:35 To: MunroDA Subject: RE: FW CC67589: Letter-Variation of Waiting Restrictions-Lochty St, Carnoustie

Dear Mr Munro

Can you please confirm that as communities councillor Helen Oswald would be the appropriate appointed representative to refer this matter to due to the substantial inconvenience the proposal as you detail will make to the elderly residents within the locale I would assume it would fall within her remit .

Thank you for your assistance and hopefully with her assistance or one of the other two elected members this matter can be brought to a satisfactory resolution.

1

Regards

Jim Stewart

From: <u>MunroDA@angus.gov.uk</u> To: <u>p</u> CC: <u>RobinsonR@angus.gov.uk</u>; <u>HillD@angus.gov.uk</u>

From:	RobinsonR
Sent:	24 September 2014 09:09
To:	MunroDA
Cc:	HillD
Subject:	FW: FW CC67589: Letter-Variation of Waiting Restrictions-Lochty St, Carnoustie
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up
Flag Status:	Flagged
Just received,	

Roy R.

From:

Sent: 23 September 2014 22:17 To: RobinsonR Subject: FW: FW CC67589: Letter-Variation of Waiting Restrictions-Lochty St, Carnoustie

Dear Sir

I refer to the attached provided by Mr Munroe .

In my last correspondence I detailed that I thought that the length of the lines could be shortened with minimal disruption to the wall and do not accept the position would not be resolved with the alteration to the wall however do accept that this would result in a capital outlay to the occupier which in the current economic climate is probably one they would not wish to incur .A position as a tax payer I can have some sympathy with and I assume you have already investigated this alternative and attained costings to see the extend of the cost require

The proposal listed in the email as I understand it will still require the elderly people within the vicinity to get up in the morning and move there vehicles from the exisiting position to I would assume potentially somewhere on or at the upper end of Queen street or Maule Street where no doubt with the reduction of spaces available in the surrounding area to the High Street in the recent past there will be no availability and then will be required to walk all the way back to their homes. Further and more specific if the reason is to facilitate the exiting from the car park in Lochty Street as discussed why would there be a requirement for the same procedure to apply on a Saturday when no one is in the council buildings ?

Regards

Jim Stewart

From: 1 ______ To: r Subject: FW: FW CC67589: Letter-Variation of Waiting Restrictions-Lochty St, Carnoustie Date: Sun, 21 Sep 2014 22:40:48 +0100

From	MunroDA
Sent:	17 September 2014 17:27
To:	
Cc:	RobinsonR; HillD
Subject:	RE: FW CC67589: Letter-Variation of Waiting Restrictions-Lochty St, Carnoustie

Dear Mr Stewart

Further to my previous email, we have been looking at this further in an effort to resolve this matter.

I remain of the opinion that the alteration to the boundary wall will not overcome the current issue with right turns from the Access office car park.

However a compromise position may be that as an alternative to extending the double yellow lines by 5 metres, a 5 metre length of single yellow lines be marked from the end of the existing double yellows.

This would restrict parking on the single yellow between 830am – 5.30pm, Monday - Saturday but would allow your tenants to park overnight daily and all day Sunday.

I feel that this is a reasonable compromise and I would be obliged if you would indicate that on that basis you would be willing to withdraw your objection.

An early reply would be appreciated. Can you also please cc your reply to <u>RobinsonR@angus.gov.uk</u> and <u>HillD@angus.gov.uk</u> If you wish to discuss by phone please call Roy Robinson on 01307 473396.

Thanks

Dan

ł

Daniel Munro | Traffic Engineer | Angus Council | COMMUNITIES | Roads | County Buildings | Market Street | Forfar | DD8 3WR | Tel: 01307 473395

From: (" Sent: 25 July 2014 00:48 To: MunroDA Subject: RE: FW CC67589: Letter-Variation of Waiting Restrictions-Lochty St, Carnoustie

Dear Sir

I note your response recieved 24th to my query of the 10th.

I disagree having reinspected the area and consider that the removal of the left hand wall closest to the building in isolation or in conjunction with at most 1 or 2 metres of extension of the lines would be sufficient to alleviate any turning problems and potentially allow the same number of spaces for the public parking

40

1

From:	MunroDA
Sent:	06 August 2014 14:11
To:	
Subject:	RE: FW CC67589: Letter-Variation of Waiting Restrictions-Lochty St, Carnoustie
Attachments:	img-806131158-0001.pdf; img-806131209-0001.pdf

Dear Sir

I note that you wish to continue your objection to the proposals at Lochty Street Carnoustie.

Where there are objections which are not withdrawn the procedure dictates that a report is placed before the Communities Committee, in this case almost certainly on Tuesday 30 September 2014

and copies of all correspondence between us will be made available for consideration by Members in advance of the meeting.

You may attend the Committee and apply to address the Committee. I attach copies of leaflets pertaining thereto which may be of assistance.

Dan

Daniel Munro | Traffic Engineer | Angus Council | COMMUNITIES | Roads | County Buildings | Market Street | Forfar | DD8 3WR | Tel: 01307 473395

From:		A second s	And the second sec
Sent: 25 July 2014 00:48	1.15		31
To: MunroDA			
Subject: RE: FW CC67589: Letter-Varia	tion of Wai	ting Restrictions-Lochty St, Ca	noustie

Dear Sir

I note your response recieved 24th to my query of the 10th.

I disagree having reinspected the area and consider that the removal of the left hand wall closest to the building in isolation or in conjunction with at most 1 or 2 metres of extension of the lines would be sufficient to alleviate any turning problems and potentially allow the same number of spaces for the publ parking

Please could you provide me with the details of whom I can refer matters to or is the decision in this case at the sole discretion of the roads department as I am unaware of the procedure or progression of matters

If you could advise or advise me who to refer matters to that would be greatly appreciated

Regards

Jim Stewart

From:	MunroDA
Sent:	24 July 2014 15:52
To:	
Subject:	RE: FW CC67589: Letter-Variation of Waiting Restrictions-Lochty St, Carnoustie

Dear Sir

The situation which has arisen is that, at the request of the local elected members, the section of Lochty Street between High Street and Philip Street was made one-way northwards by Order. As a result of this change to the traffic management the users of the car park at the Carnoustie Access Office are experiencing difficulties in turning right due to vehicles parked close to the access, the option to turn left on exit from the car park being no longer available.

In order to relieve the situation it is proposed to extend by 5 metres the double yellow line waiting restrictions on the east side of the street.

It is considered appropriate that the Council having introduced the change to the traffic movements in this vicinity which has caused the problem should be responsible for its resolution. Further, the fact that the car park serves a Council building does not enter into this consideration and if the owner of a private commercial premises had reported the same problem then the same solution would apply. In this instance it is considered that the only alternative means of physically improving the situation for right turning traffic would be to widen the access on both sides.

This would also have the impact of requiring the waiting restrictions to be extended to cover the widened access. In conclusion, the required length of restriction would be the same (5 metres) whether the access was widened or not. It is therefore not an alternative viable option.

Dan

Daniel Munro | Traffic Engineer | Angus Council | COMMUNITIES | Roads | County Buildings | Market Street | Forfar | DD8 3WR | Tel: 01307 473395

From: (Sent: 10 July 2014 20:50 To: MunroDA Subject: RE: FW CC67589: Letter-Variation of Waiting Restrictions-Lochty St, Carnoustie

Dear Sir

Thank you for your response

Dear Sir

I note your confirmation that no alternative options were considered .

Can you confirm whether the extension of yellow lines adjacent to a commercial car park would be available to all commercial tenants as I would have thought the responsibility fell with the commercial occupier and the duty of care was theirs to their staff to mitigate any potential problem and was not the roads departments to do a cost analysis of the situation on their behalf . What you appear to be saying i that the roads department are extending the yellow lines to give preferential treatment to the council as

1

From:	
Sent:	10 July 2014 20:50
To:	MunroDA
Subject:	RE: FW CC67589: Letter-Variation of Waiting Restrictions-Lochty St, Carnoustie
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up
Flag Status:	Completed

Dear Sir

Thank you for your response

Dear Sir

I note your confirmation that no alternative options were considered .

Can you confirm whether the extension of yellow lines adjacent to a commercial car park would be available to all commercial tenants as I would have thought the responsibility fell with the commercial occupier and the duty of care was theirs to their staff to mitigate any potential problem and was not the roads departments to do a cost analysis of the situation on their behalf .What you appear to be saying is that the roads department are extending the yellow lines to give preferential treatment to the council as an occupier to the detriment of the local residents parking availability without consideration of alternatives which may require capital expenditure on the occupiers behalf but may well provide a suitable solution for both the users of the car park and also local residents .

Can you confirm that should the car park be that of the co op or one of the local pubs that there similarly would be the availability for the yellow lines to be installed without either of those occupiers having to consider options or modify their premises to minimise risk to their staff .

The availability of parking close to the centre is important particularly for older residents and pensioners and it is clearly hugely concerning that the proposal was the removal of already limited parking without consideration of an alternative .

Please confirm with regard to my questions raised in paragraph 1 and 2

Regards

Jim Stewart

From: MunroDA@angus.gov.uk To: 6 *** -

Subject: FW: FW CC67589: Letter-Variation of Waiting Restrictions-Lochty St, Carnoustie Date: Wed, 9 Jul 2014 15:07:26 +0000

Dear Sir

From:	MunroDA
Sent:	09 July 2014 16:07
To:	
Subject:	FW: FW CC67589: Letter-Variation of Waiting Restrictions-Lochty St, Carnoustie
Attachments:	img-709150848-0001.pdf

Dear Sir

I refer to your recent email and reply as follows.

The proposal is for an additional 5 metres of double yellow lines on the east side of the street. This will mean that if approved the double yellow lines will extend for a distance of 30 metres or thereby from its junction with High Street. (see plan)

In terms of alternative options I confirm that no other options to improve the situation for right turning vehicles exiting the Municipal Buildings car park were considered. I note your suggestion regarding the taking down of a section of wall to widen the access on its south side. I am not convinced that the taking down of this short length of wall between the

building and the existing opening would satisfactorily resolve the issue. Further, this would involve the Council in significant expense in taking down of the wall and making good, widening of the footway dropped kerb vehicle crossing on Lochty Street and the purchasing

new gates for the widened opening.

It is considered therefore that the most appropriate and cost effective solution is the extension of the double yellow lines.

Yours

Dan

Daniel Munro | Traffic Engineer | Angus Council | COMMUNITIES | Roads | County Buildings | Market Street | Forfar | DD8 3WR | Tel: 01307 473395

From: RDSSupply Sent: 03 July 2014 09:18 To: MunroDA Subject: FW CC67589: Letter-Variation of Waiting Restrictions-Lochty St, Carnoustie

FYI

From: 5. Sent: 02 July 2014 21:33 To: RDSSupply Subject: RE: Letter-Variation of Waiting Restrictions-Lochty St, Carnoustie

Dear Madam

I thank you for your correspondence and note the comments .

In terms of the proposed extension of the yellow lines by approximately 5 metres. Please could you clarify

26.

From:	RDSSupply
Sent:	03 July 2014 09:18
To:	MunroDA
Subject:	FW CC67589: Letter-Variation of Waiting Restrictions-Lochty St, Carnoustie

FYI

From: J Sent: 02 July 2014 21:33 To: RDSSupply Subject: RE: Letter-Variation of Waiting Restrictions-Lochty St, Carnoustle

Dear Madam

I thank you for your correspondence and note the comments .

In terms of the proposed extension of the yellow lines by approximately 5 metres. Please could you clarify the exact proposed distance as the specified distance for the extension of the lines proposed must already have been determined so that if passed the workmen carrying out works know exactly what has obtained consent and can proceed accordingly to that specific distance .Please could you therefore confirm the exact proposed extension distance and I will see how this impacts on site .

Can you also advise whether alternative options have been explored which would minimise if not completely remove the requirement for any extension of the yellow lines and removal of much needed parking.

If the right hand side of the entrance wall is removed and the gate/opening to the councils offices therefore enlarged ,this would alleviate the requirement for the lines to be extended as the turning circle would be increased ,ease of vacation of the car park increased and certainly no requirement to take several cuts as exit could be obtained in one easy move and the public carparking on the street maintained and clearly then the safety issue you detail is alleviated .

I would appreciate if this option is seriously considered as it will satisfy the needs and wants of both the council employees and the residents with little adaption required and maintain the very limited parking of this type available within the vicinity.

Regards

Jim Stewart

Subject: FW: Letter-Variation of Waiting Restrictions-Lochty St, Carnoustie > Date: Wed, 2 Jul 2014 09:49:45 +0000 > Good morning > Please find attached a letter concerning the above subject. > Many thanks

>

Our Ref GH/DAM/CT TO2.2

I July 2014

James Stewart



COMMUNITIES Strategic Director: Alan McKeown

Dear Mr Stewart

The Angus Council (Variation Of Waiting Restrictions) Order 201x -Lochty Street Carnoustie.

I refer to your email to the Head of Legal & Democratic Services dated 19 June 2014 in respect of the above.

As you will be aware the section of Lochty Street between High Street and Philip Street has recently been made one-way northwards.

Staff at Carnoustie Access office raised concerns about vehicles parking close, to the car park access on Lochty Street, sometimes overhanging the existing double yellow lines, and therefore creating difficulties for drivers exiting the car park and turning right as they must now do due to the one -way restriction. This involves drivers either taking several 'cuts' in Lochty Street to make the manoeuvre or mounting the narrow footway opposite the access neither of which is appropriate from a road safety viewpoint.

In order to alleviate that problem it is proposed to extend the current double yellow line waiting restrictions by approx. 5 metres which will result in the loss of <u>one</u> of the kerbside parking places opposite your property. I appreciate that there is limited kerbside parking for residents in the area however my first concern in this instance must be to maintain safe access and egress for premises.

In view of the above I would be obliged if you would advise me if you are willing to withdraw your objection to the proposal. In the event that you do not withdraw your objection then a decision on the matter will be made at a meeting of the Communities Committee to be held on 19 August 2014. A report including the nature of your concerns will be considered by elected Members who will also have access to copies of correspondence between you and Angus Council in advance of the meeting.

1 Irust the above is of assistance however if you have any queries please contact Daniel Munro on 01307 473395.

Yours sincerely



Graham Harris Traffic Manager

() INVESTOR

Roads | County Buildings | Market Street | Fonfar | DD8 3WR | LP8 Fonfar | T: (01307) 461460 | F: (01307) 473388 | E: roads@angus.gov.uk | www.angus.gov.uk Dear Sir /Madam

I write following my phone discussions earlier today in relation to remove of the parking spaces which are currently available outside the block of flats at 6-12 Lochty Street, Carnoustie

I write as the owner of Number 6 however also and more specifically on behalf of the long terms tenants within the property who are extremely concerned as I am about the proposed ability to park outside their property or to be honest with the proposals in place it will be very difficult for them to able to park anywhere in the vicinity of the property.

I see no requirement for this alteration in policy and consider with appropriate signage for the council employees when vacating their carpark and ensuring the traffic is one way up Lochty Street from the base then their is no problem re sight lines or traffic issues as clearly when vacating the car park to turn right they only have to look left towards the high street to ensure there are no cars coming up the street. The existing spaces create no site problems and there proposed limitations on the duration of occupancy are hugely disadvantageous to the residents /council tax payers with no upside.

The residents have just signed a further 5 year tenancy which will take them up to 2019 having currently been in occupation for 7 years .Mr' (The tenant) is 66 and has health issues and clearly the removal of

the space will have serious ramifications for him and his wife who is similarly in her mid sixties . They rely on the ability to park outside their home as they have been able to do for many years .

I therefore object strongly to this proposal and will be forwarding copies of this objection to the Provost and the local councillors and understand that my tenant is referring matters to his doctor due to current health issues and particularly in relation to the severe problems this proposal would cause him and his wife .Surely there should be consideration for the local residents and the severe impact such proposals would have .

There is already a limit on the parking time within the High Street, is it the proposal to restrict parking on all feeder streets to the High Street inclusive I would assume of Carlogie Rd which as the main feeder to the High Street clearly suffers congestion due to the on street parking .Any problem in Lochty Street can be resolved by ensuring one way and ensuring that adequate signage is provided on vacation of the carpark thereby ensuring that everyone turns right .There is no substantive reason for any alteration to the parking from its current position that I can see and the status quo must be maintained

Regards

James Stewart

IAC/RR/SC TO 2.2

18 September 2014

Resident 112 Glamis Road KIRRIEMUIR Angus DD8 5DF



COMMUNITIES Strategic Director: Alan McKeown

Dear Sir/Madam

Beechwood Place, Kirriemuir - Waiting Restrictions

I refer to previous correspondence and to your discussions on site with Mr Hill and Mr Robinson yesterday (Wednesday 17 September 2014) regarding the above.

At the site meeting it was considered that the length of the proposed double yellow lines where it extends into the cul-de-sac could be reduced from 5m to 2m. This proposal would be sufficient to improve the sightlines for traffic emerging from the cul-de-sac whilst minimising the loss of on street parking adjacent to your property.

If you are agreeable to the above revised arrangement, I would ask you to formally withdraw your objection by giving your agreement below.

Thank you for your assistance on this matter.

Yours faithfully

lain Cochrane Head of Technical and Property Services

I agree to the amended waiting restriction proposal above and withdraw my objection to the Angus Council (Variation of Waiting Restrictions) Order 2014.

Signed...

2014 Date..

Roads | County Buildings | Market Street | Forfar | DD8 3WR | LP8 Forfar | T: (01307) 461460 LF: (01307) 473388 LF: roads@apaus.cov.uk Lywww.cpaus.cov.uk

O INVESTORS

43

Our Ref GH/DAM/FJ TO2.2

5 August 2014

Mrs D Morgan 112 Glamis Road KIRRIEMUIR DD8 5DF



COMMUNITIES Strategic Director: Alan McKeown

Dear Mrs Morgan

The Angus Council (Variation of Waiting Restrictions) Order 200X Beechwood Place Kirriemuir

I refer to previous correspondence and note that you have made no comment upon my letter to you dated 11 July 2014.

I appreciate that the proposed 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions may cause some inconvenience for you. However my first concern must be to pedestrians and traffic safety and free traffic flow and on that basis I consider that the proposals are necessary and appropriate.

In terms of the above draft Order, where there are outstanding objections, the procedure dictates that a report is placed before the Communities Committee, in this case almost certainly on Tuesday 30 September 2014, and copies of the correspondence between us will be made available for consideration by Members in advance of the meeting.

if calling or telephoning please ask for Daniel Munro on (01307) 473395 - Fax (01307) 473388 - e-mail: MunroDA@angus.gov.uk

Yours sincerely

PP Graham Harris Traffic Manager

Cc Head of Legal & Democratic Services (FAO Mike Devine)

Roads County Buildings Market Street Forfar DD8 3WR LP8 Forfar	
T: (01307) 461460 F: (01307) 473388 E: roads@angus.gov.uk www.angu	s.gov.uk

O INVESTORS

GH/DAM/CT

Angi onneil

COMMUNITIES Strategic Director: Alan McKeown

Mrs D Morgan 112 Glamis Road KIRRIEMUIR DD8 5DF

11 July 2014

Dear Mrs Morgan

()

0

The Angus Council (Variation Of Waiting Restrictions) Order 201x -Beechwood Place Kirriemuir.

TO2.2

I refer to your recent letter to the Head of Legal & Democratic Services in respect of the above and I note your comments regarding parking for your vehicle in the vicinity of your property.

The proposed additional waiting restrictions are around the radii of the junction of Beechwood Place with the cul-de-sac at the rear of your property. The introduction of these additional waiting restrictions is Intended to prevent parking directly at the junction thereby improving vehicle access and egress and protecting visibility sightlines for drivers emerging onto Beechwood Place. Further, these additional restrictions will protect the existing pedestrian dropped kerbs with tactile paving on each side of the junction thereby preventing their obstruction for and seriously inconveniencing pedestrlans, people in wheelchairs or with visual impairments and people with prams.

I would remind you that Section 243 of the Highway Code states:-DO NOT stop or park ... opposite or within 10 metres (32 feet) of a junction, except in an authorised parking space... and where the kerb has been lowered to help wheelchair users and powered mobility vehicles.

In terms of your request for alterations to the parking layby in the cul-de-sac I confirm that I am willing to look into this however in the current financial climate it is likely that such works would be considered a low priority in overall pedestrian and traffic safety terms. As such it may be some time before these works could be carried out to provide additional parking at this location.

Notwithstanding the above, it should be noted that double yellow lines in Angus do permit vehicles to wait in order to enable a person to board or alight from the vehicle or to load thereon or unload therefrom his personal luggage. This would permit you to park on the double yellow lines for as long as necessary to allow you to assist your husband to and from the house and into or from the vehicle. Thereafter the vehicle would require to be moved off the double yellow lines.

Roads | County Buildings | Market Street | Forfar | DD8 3WR | LP8 Forfar | T: (01307) 461460 | F: (01307) 473388 | E: roads@angus.gov.uk | www.angus.gov.uk () INVESTORS



I would also advise you that in view of your husbands health issues you may wish to consider applying for a Disabled 'Blue Badge' which, when displayed, would allow you to park on a single or double yellow line without time limit provided the vehicle is not causing a hazard or an obstruction to free traffic flow.

I would be obliged if you would consider the above comments and advise me if you are willing to withdraw your objection.

If you have any further queries please contact Daniel Munro on 01307 473395 or by email on munroda@angus.gov.uk.

Yours sincerely

Graham Harris Traffic Manager

O

0

cc Head of Legal & Democratic Services F.A.O. Mike Devine)

mrs D morgan KIRRIEMUR DD8 5DF how iko to put IA Lamplan a à + yellow bou. 0.0 10 hal going the C bark 61 My hours 0 have ellow Ac 6 hause CONVIEREN LH 5 C 0 D min nu SO P alor ciales 20 PARKing so the Spa a ne 52 e for ner orqual VA. CI E 2 CARS AS nyself CIAC EAL 0 abori 40 ox QCI ecs RECEIVED EGAL & DEMOCRATIC SERVICES 13 200 25W 9:20 MITS IM -÷, · - price a .

۲

19

SCHEDULE

A) ROSEMOUNT ROAD ARBROATH

Proposals

The introduction of additional 'No Waiting At Any Time' restrictions on the east side of Rosemount Road to infill between the current restrictions westwards from its junction with Alexandra Place and eastwards from the access to the new car park opposite Arbroath Infirmary, as shown detailed on Appendix 4.

The request to consider the introduction of waiting restrictions was raised by local elected members following the completion of the new car park and associated waiting restrictions in the vicinity of the car park entrance on Rosemount Road. Discussions were held between Roads Division officers and local elected members and a site visit was held following which, in consultation with Police Scotland, the current proposals were deemed appropriate in order to relieve congestion and maintain free traffic flow.

Objections

A letter of objection was received from a group of residents under the banner of 'Rosemount Road Property Owners'. The main concerns of these residents related to safety, reduction in parking for residents and inconsiderate parking by non-residents. They also suggested that formal traffic calming measures be considered for this section of road and the introduction of on street 'residents only' parking spaces.

The objectors are concerned that the removal of the parking on the east side of the street will lead to increased traffic speeds on Rosemount Road and will reduce the level of kerbside parking available for local residents whose properties are mainly terraced houses with little scope for providing off street parking within their garden ground. This it is claimed is exacerbated by the inconsiderate parking of vehicles associated with nearby Arbroath Infirmary as well as Community Nursing staff vehicles throughout the day.

Response

The Head of Technical & Property Services response was that the removal of the kerbside parking on the east side of the street may result in an increase in traffic speeds however the congestion created at times by the kerbside parking is also of concern on this important route leading to Arbroath Infirmary, Angus College, etc.

The proposed restrictions would result in the loss of approx. 10 kerbside parking spaces and these can be accommodated overnight in the nearby car park. With regards to the inconsiderate parking associated with the Community Nursing Service it should be noted that, from information provided by the Community Care Service, this would represent approx 10 - 20 vehicles at peak times. This number would comprise both Council vehicles and workers private cars however it is intended that this service is to move site from Rosemount Road to Bruce House in. This will clearly significantly reduce the parking demand and the impact on residents parking in Rosemount Road once this move has taken place.

The introduction of traffic calming measures is generally assessed taking into consideration many factors not just traffic speeds. The type of vehicles which use this road such as ambulances and buses is likely to make it unsuitable for physical traffic calming measures such as road humps.

The provision of on street 'residents only' parking places would involve the Council in significant costs in setting up and managing such a scheme. These costs would require to be passed on to the relatively small number of residents who may be unwilling to meet these costs. Further, such schemes are only successful if the appropriate level of enforcement can be provided to meet the residents' expectations and presently Police Scotland are unlikely to provide such enforcement. As such generally Angus Council does not support the promotion or introduction of such facilities.

Recommendation

It is recommended that the proposals are approved as currently drafted.

B) LOCHTY STREET CARNOUSTIE

Proposals

The introduction of a short extension of the existing 'No Waiting at Any Time' restrictions on the east side of Lochty Street northwards from High Street, as shown detailed on Appendix 4.

Following a request from local elected members a Traffic Regulation Order making that section of Lochty Street between High Street and Philip Street subject to one - way traffic, northwards, that is from High Street towards Philip Street was made and implemented. Staff from the local ACCESS Office indicated that as a result of this one - way traffic restriction and the relatively narrow carriageway of Lochty Street users of the car park at the rear of the property are encountering difficulties in turning right out of the access due to vehicles parked close to the access. This it is claimed is, at times, resulting in some drivers intentionally turning left towards High Street against the current one-way traffic restriction.

Objections

One objection to the proposals was submitted by the owner of rental properties opposite the Access office car park in Lochty Street. The nature of the objection is that kerbside parking in this area close to the town centre is at a premium and he considers that the loss of further spaces would disbenefit his tenants and that alternative options should have been considered.

The objector suggests that the removal of a section of the boundary wall of the Access Office car park to widen the access on the south side would improve the situation for traffic turning right out of the car park and would not require the proposed additional waiting restrictions.

Response

Following discussions between officers and local elected members it has been agreed, in an effort to assist local residents, that these proposals should not precede at this time with the council making adjustments to the entrance of the council office. This will also enable the office car park to be used out with office hours by the public.

Recommendation

It is recommended that the proposals are abandoned.

C) BEECHWOOD PLACE KIRRIEMUIR

Proposals

The introduction of 'No Waiting At Any Time' restrictions around the radius of the junction of the main carriageway of Beechwood Place with the cul-de-sac at the rear of the properties at 106/112 Glamis Road.

The request to introduce waiting restrictions at this location was raised by a resident of Beechwood Place in respect of inconsiderate kerbside parking in the vicinity of the junction. This parking is restricting vehicular access and egress at the junction as well as restricting visibility sightlines for drivers emerging onto Beechwood Place which has resulted in a minor accident involving the complainant.

Objections

One letter of objection was received from a resident in Glamis Road whose property has a rear door on the cul-de-sac off of Beechwood Place. The objector indicates that there are double yellow line restrictions at the front of her house on Glamis Road and as such she generally parks at the rear of her property near to the junction with Beechwood Place. Her husband has health problems and therefore needs to be able to park her vehicle close to her property.

Response

The Head of Technical and Property Services appreciates that the proposed 'No Waiting at Any Time' may cause some inconvenience for residents but his main concern must be for pedestrian and vehicle safety and free traffic flow. The proposed restrictions are intended to prevent parking directly at the junction thereby improving vehicular access and egress as well as protecting visibility sightlines for drivers emerging from the cul-de-sac onto Beechwood Place. Further as these restrictions will extend across the existing pedestrian dropped kerbs on each side of the junction this will prevent their obstruction which would seriously inconvenience pedestrians, people in wheelchairs or with visual impairment and people with prams.

Vehicles are permitted to wait on double yellow lines in order to enable a person to alight or board a vehicle or to load/unload personal luggage. This would allow the objector to park on the double yellow lines for so long as is required to assist her husband between the vehicle and the property but would then require to relocate the vehicle off of the double yellow lines. Alternatively if the objectors husband health issues are appropriate he may wish to apply for a Disabled 'Blue Badge' which when displayed would allow the parking of a vehicle on a single or double yellow line without time limit provided it is not causing a hazard or an obstruction to free traffic flow.

As a compromise the Head of Technical & Property Services has agreed to reduce the length of the proposed double yellow line being returned into the cul-de-sac from 5 metres to 2 metres which would still protect the pedestrian dropped kerbs but maximise the potential for parking in the cul-de-sac.

The objector has indicated that this compromise is acceptable and has formally withdrawn their objection on that basis.

The original and revised proposals are as shown detailed on Appendix 4

Recommendation

It is recommended that the proposals are approved as amended.



Plan showing Proposed Variation of Waiting Restrictions at Charles Avenue, Arbroath

Appendix 4

Plan showing Proposed Variation of Waiting Restrictions at Glenisla Drive, Hamilton Street and Clova Avenue, Arbroath


Plan showing Proposed Variation of Waiting Restrictions at East Kirkton Road Elmbank Crescent and Elmfield Avenue, Arbroath



Plan showing Proposed Variation of Waiting Restrictions at Horologe Hill and Ness Drive, Arbroath



Plan showing Proposed Variation of Waiting Restrictions at Viewfield Road and Rosemount Road, Arbroath





Plan showing Proposed Variation of Waiting Restrictions at Anderson Street, Panbride Street and Arbroath Road, Carnoustie

Plan showing Proposed Variation of Waiting Restrictions at Caesar Avenue and Lochty Street, Carnoustie



Plan showing Proposed Variation of Waiting Restrictions at Berrymoss Lane and Orchard Loan, Forfar







Plan showing Proposed Variation of Waiting Restrictions at Wemyss Crescent, Monifieth

Plan showing Proposed Variation of Waiting Restrictions at Brent Avenue, Montrose



Plan showing Proposed Variation of Waiting Restrictions at Seagate, Shore Wynd, Castle Street and High Street, Montrose



Plan showing Proposed Variation of Waiting Restrictions at Nursery Road and Nursery Crescent, Montrose





Plan showing Proposed Variation of Waiting Restrictions at Beechwood Place, Kirriemuir