



ANGUS COMMUNITY PLANNING PARTNERSHIP

CONSULTATION FEEDBACK SEPTEMBER 2017

ARBROATH LOCALITY PLAN 2017	
Comments <i>(Questions 1-8 related to the Local Outcomes Improvement Plan)</i>	Response
Some comments re typos and layout, inconsistencies of terminology and jargon.	These have been addressed.
<p style="text-align: center;">Q 9 – The Vision and Priorities</p> <p>“P9 – Arbroath offers well-paid local employment which meets the needs of our people – suggest Arbroath offers a flexible and skilled workforce rather than mention pay as across the region pay is below Scottish average.</p> <p>P9 – the sentence “our people have a positive outlook on life. They enjoy good physical, emotional and mental wellbeing, living healthy and active lives free from the impact of poverty and inequality” – Is this correct? Arbroath has big sections in the SIMD</p> <p>P9 – not sure the word authentic is right here “Arbroath is an authentic town” perhaps Arbroath is a town rich in heritage.</p> <p>P11 – Arbroath Festival of Heroes was a one off event so might want to take this out.”</p> <p>“Again as with our previous comments, we would like to state that Angus should be a great place to live primarily.</p> <p>In the tree diagram, it highlights that the Children’s Plan is part of the focus, again, this should be the Tayside Children’s and Young Peoples Plan.”</p> <p>“Both vision and priorities are ambitious it is good</p>	<p>This section is aspirational, however we have added ‘Our workforce is flexible, skilled and meets the needs of the employment market’</p> <p>This is part of the ‘Vision’ section – intended to be aspirational. The heading has been made clearer.</p> <p>This wording comes from charrette discussions</p> <p>Taken out.</p> <p>Noted, however we feel it is helpful to consider also those who work and visit Angus.</p> <p>Taken out.</p> <p>Support noted</p>

ARBROATH LOCALITY PLAN 2017	
Comments <i>(Questions 1-8 related to the Local Outcomes Improvement Plan)</i>	Response
<p>for stakeholders to get the chance to examine the data and figures and take plans for resolving and tackling these issues.”</p> <p>“Good to see a strong focus on inequality, accessibility and town centre regeneration.”</p>	Support noted
<p style="text-align: center;">Q10 – The Economy</p> <p>“Some of the measures are outwith the control of the CPP so reference could be made to "we will investigate..." or "we will seek to address....." or "we will work with....."</p> <p>“good to see mention of addressing the visitor accommodation issue and accessibility”</p>	<p>We are keen to make this an ambitious and clear document. Where the CPP cannot control a measure, it can make a contribution - partners have been involved in developing realistic areas for action.</p> <p>Support noted</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Q11 – People</p> <p>“Improved health: could also make reference to providing the environment which improves health.”</p> <p>“people generally wish for good schools for their kids a decent home access to jobs, higher education a change to enjoy good health and help when needed.”</p> <p>“Also, a very wide range of actions that will require careful consideration of which ones are classed as priority actions. I suppose those that are linked to the ambitions in the LOIP and are classed as Red Status may be a good starting point for prioritising.”</p> <p>“Happy that the focus is less school based and more about people in general. Not sure what "redesigning</p>	<p>We recognise that improved health is a complex outcome influenced by a number of factors. This is reflected in the vision and framework diagram.</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>We note the question , but don't think using 'Services that are not delivered digitally' captures</p>

ARBROATH LOCALITY PLAN 2017

<p align="center">Comments</p> <p align="center"><i>(Questions 1-8 related to the Local Outcomes Improvement Plan)</i></p>	<p align="center">Response</p>
<p>offline services" means"</p>	<p>what we mean as well.</p>
<p align="center">Q12 – Place</p> <p>“Is there a requirement to outline emerging threats/opportunities. For example in Arbroath, RAF Condor.”</p> <p>“Also, perhaps some evidence drawn from the likes of the safer communities forum strategy, to identify areas of action for improving safety would enhance this section.”</p> <p>“Very housing focussed.”</p>	<p>This is a good point and will be part of an early priority for locality implementation partnerships</p> <p>Will be considered by the locality implementation partnerships once the strategy is published</p> <p>Housing is seen as a catalyst for wider regeneration of communities.</p>
<p align="center">Q13 – Further Comments</p> <p>“Page 3 – Improve quality of life: Do local people truly understand what we mean by this? Perhaps we need to be more descriptive? Was discussion not to stop using this phrase as means different things to people</p> <p>P5 – “people who live there” – what about those that work there and have businesses? What we know about the area - No mention of agriculture and soft fruit as major employer. Fishing in decline but increase again in seafood catches/inshore fisheries</p> <p>P16 we will sentences are very ambitious</p> <p>Page 21 – resources section just cautious over the wording, makes it sound like Council will deliver Agree – needs to be very clear this is all partners</p>	<p>Noted but not changed.</p> <p>Changed to people who live and work there.</p> <p>Fishing referenced; ‘agriculture and soft fruit are major employers in the area’ added to p.11</p> <p>Agreed – this is the intention.</p> <p>Have added ‘Together’ at the beginning of</p>

ARBROATH LOCALITY PLAN 2017

<p align="center">Comments</p> <p align="center"><i>(Questions 1-8 related to the Local Outcomes Improvement Plan)</i></p>	<p align="center">Response</p>
<p>committing to this.”</p> <p>“Focus is mainly on Arbroath rather than more rural area and villages. Still sits at a fairly high level so will be interesting to see how it links to implementation plans. Little reference to Letham, should be included within Place section in reference to housing.</p> <p>Would be useful as part of population data to include statistics on car ownership as close links with access to jobs an services choice, public transport opportunities etc.</p> <p>Resources (pg 22): could also make reference to look for funding opportunities (e.g. grants, third sector, fund raising) but needs to be clearly defined.</p> <p>Potential to identify milestones where target is a long way off.”</p> <p>“Letham is included in both Arbroath and Forfar and Kirriemuir locality plans”</p> <p>“This version of the plan seems far more balanced and much clearer than the first draft. Generally easier to read and understand and a plan that most people in the community can relate to.”</p> <p>“What is written in the plan is in line with what I have heard from community members. It is well written and seems accessible to all.”</p> <p>“All really well written, easy to read – especially</p>	<p>statements to reinforce this.</p> <p>We recognise there is a focus on Arbroath, however many of the areas for action are applicable across the locality and resources will be allocated according to need.</p> <p>Partners will have a wide range of data that can be used in the development of more specific actions.</p> <p>Noted – this is an implementation issue.</p> <p>For the locality implementation partnerships.</p> <p>Formally it is in the Arbroath locality although we recognise there are strong links with Forfar for education and other services. Specific reference linked to population data removed from Forfar/Kirriemuir plan</p> <p>Support noted</p> <p>Support noted</p> <p>Support noted</p>

ARBROATH LOCALITY PLAN 2017

Comments <i>(Questions 1-8 related to the Local Outcomes Improvement Plan)</i>	Response
locality plans (including data)"	