



ANGUS COMMUNITY PLANNING PARTNERSHIP

CONSULTATION FEEDBACK SEPTEMBER 2017

BRECHIN AND MONTROSE LOCALITY PLAN 2017	
Comments <i>(Questions 1-8 related to the Local Outcomes Improvement Plan)</i>	Response
Some comments re typos and layout, inconsistencies of terminology and jargon.	These have been addressed.
Q 9 – The Vision and Priorities <i>“These communities are not homogeneous...”</i>	Although a locality covers a wide area, specific actions will be targeted to much smaller neighbourhoods.
Q10 – The Economy <i>“The economies of Brechin and Edzell are completely different from Montrose as acknowledged in the paragraphs about communities but there is little to be gained from treating them as a whole. The towns need to focus on their strengths.”</i>	We understand and respect the differences and actions will reflect local variations but we also need to consider dependencies and interdependencies where relevant.
Q11 – People <i>“The Best start for children would involve a strategy regarding antenatal and post natal care which is multidisciplinary and then ensures input to the early years of children including nursery years. Work to ensure children have the best opportunities re Health and education to enable progression and the best outcomes from education.”</i> <i>“The community of those for whom English is a second language needs specific attention as they also have a higher proportion of the pregnancies in the area. Without assistance they struggle to access health services and to optimise their economic development -most do jobs with minimal qualifications even if they are qualified themselves.”</i>	Addressed by the Tayside Plan for Children, Young People and Families; also national early years developments. There is a specific area for action around the integration of minority communities in Brechin and Montrose (p17). This type of local knowledge will be important going forward.

BRECHIN AND MONTROSE LOCALITY PLAN 2017

Comments <i>(Questions 1-8 related to the Local Outcomes Improvement Plan)</i>	Response
<p align="center">Q12 – Place</p> <p><i>“Very surprised that coastal erosion is not in the Montrose plan”</i></p> <p><i>“Both Brechin and Montrose have historic centres which are not designed for modern traffic.”</i></p> <p><i>“Housing is not a economic driver. It needs to be done together with other service developments.”</i></p> <p><i>“Communities need all services to be present and functional to be attractive to families to want to buy the houses. if services are lacking then families are less likely to want to move here.”</i></p>	<p>This has been added.</p> <p>On p17 there is reference to developing the roads infrastructure to the A90.</p> <p>We acknowledge that access to services is an issue in all communities. The plan cannot describe all areas of work.</p> <p>Although a locality covers a wide area, specific actions will be targeted to much smaller neighbourhoods.</p>
<p align="center">Q13 – Further Comments</p> <p><i>“maybe something in here about the port and aspirations for the cruise sector? (first cruise ship arriving 2018)”</i></p> <p><i>“Has anyone considered that Montrose relates to Aberdeen and Grampian rather than Dundee and that Brechin has good road links with both but is closer to Dundee?”</i></p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>Noted</p>