



ANGUS COMMUNITY PLANNING PARTNERSHIP

CONSULTATION FEEDBACK SEPTEMBER 2017

LOCAL OUTCOMES IMPROVEMENT PLAN 2017-2030	
Comments	Response
Some comments re typos and layout.	These have been addressed.
<p>Q 2 – The Vision</p> <p>5 Respondents agreed with the vision.</p> <p>Additional comments:</p> <p><i>“we would like to see this strengthened by the inclusion of all key supporting strategies on page 10”</i></p> <p><i>“...Rural communities having poorer access and essentially having to travel to reach any services even primary care.”</i></p>	<p>Support noted.</p> <p>Strategies from p10 have been added to tree on p9 & vice versa.</p> <p>Reference to zero waste has been added to p26.</p> <p>We envisage collaborative working in which people will share key strategic priorities and documents and over time ensure that they reflect the priorities in the LOIP.</p> <p>Issues of rurality and access to services highlighted in locality plans.</p>
<p>Q3 – The Priorities</p> <p>6 Respondents agreed with the priorities.</p> <p>Additional comments:</p> <p><i>“...these are processes and not outcomes.”</i></p> <p><i>“Focussing on prevention - it would be more ambitious to shift resources towards prevention, in order to have that focus.”</i></p> <p><i>“No explicit reference to Human Rights/PANEL principles”</i></p> <p><i>“Although tackling inequalities is really important it</i></p>	<p>Support noted.</p> <p>We recognise these are not outcomes but need to be given priority if we are to deliver against outcomes.</p> <p>Agreed. This is what we are trying to convey on p23 (some changes have been made to help clarify).</p> <p>Unable to incorporate all national and trans-national principles.</p> <p>The Community Empowerment Act is referenced on</p>

LOCAL OUTCOMES IMPROVEMENT PLAN 2017-2030

Comments	Response
<p><i>seems to be almost the exclusive focus. ... tackling equalities was the only DUTY that LA's to consider as part of their plans. If this is the case perhaps you should highlight this in the strategy and reference it so we can see this for ourselves."</i></p> <p><i>"The Priorities are fine but the problem is the execution of the priorities by the Agencies. ... We have communities which are as divided as Greater Glasgow and the problems of inequalities and how it affects the access to services need to be heeded. The county is not homogeneous and nor are the communities."</i></p> <p><i>"We are surrounded by the largest industry in the county is the only one not mentioned- Agriculture- We depend on Agriculture for our prosperity and we need to support the industry with all the services of the highest quality. "</i></p>	<p>page 5 of the LOIP and this document and the locality plans have been produced to meet its requirements.</p> <p>Both the LOIP and locality plans are clearly trying to say that communities are not the same or equal. The LOIP represents a commitment from partners to tackle inequalities and be accountable for that.</p> <p>We have engaged widely with communities in the development of these the LOIP and locality plans, as outlined in the documents. There are clear commitments in the plan to future engagement and collaboration with communities.</p> <p>P14 references the importance of the agricultural and manufacturing sectors for the Angus economy Prime agricultural land is mentioned on p13 of LOIP and is also mentioned in locality plans.</p>
<p align="center">Q4 – Local Outcomes</p> <p>5 Respondents agreed with the vision.</p> <p>Additional comments:</p> <p><i>"Whilst there is a focus on a better start for young people, we were taken aback that there is no mention at all re young people."</i></p> <p><i>"Outcomes are fine -but do they apply across the board to all communities?"</i></p>	<p>Support noted.</p> <p>The local outcomes are directly relevant to young people. Where 'children' is referenced this should be read as including young people up to the age of 18. Children's Plan title corrected to Plan for Children, Young People and Families</p> <p>We recognise that there is inequality of outcomes in Angus. The aim of plan is to support more equitable outcome improvement.</p>
<p align="center">Q5 – The Commitments</p> <p>2 Respondents agreed with the vision.</p> <p>Additional comments:</p> <p><i>"There is no mention of resources or budgeting for</i></p>	<p>Support noted.</p> <p>The intention of the LOIP is to set long term vision and ambition. The partnership structure includes an</p>

LOCAL OUTCOMES IMPROVEMENT PLAN 2017-2030

Comments	Response
<p><i>the period the LOIP covers.”</i></p> <p><i>“Economy. There is no clear link between the Why are we doing it? & What are we doing already? to the Focus for the future?”</i></p> <p><i>“Strongly recommend that you consider good food as a tool for delivering across all local outcomes...”</i></p> <p><i>“...improving accessibility and connectivity highlights that Angus wants to become a world class digital county by 2020. Is there a benchmark for what world class looks like, so we can measure our progress against this target?”</i></p> <p><i>“There was confusion about the use of '£' signs. e.g. is it not that more should be spent on prevention rather than dealing with the consequences or is that what the ££s represent?”</i></p> <p><i>“...we would like to see where it states that health and social inequalities are the exclusive priority of Locality Plans. Although environmental issues get a mention there is little data comparing these issues with those of other areas in Angus or across Scotland. Is it just people inequalities? If it is the latter maybe this should not be the locality plan but a health education and social care plan.”</i></p> <p><i>“...we would like to see enforcement being properly resourced alongside other positive strategies to change behaviour.”</i></p>	<p>Executive Group which will be responsible for leading on implementation including the alignment of resources and management of performance.</p> <p>Agreed - Some additions to first two boxes in economy ‘thread’.</p> <p>Food and drink is a targeted area in the Angus Economic Strategy. Issues can also be addressed when a Food Growing Strategy is developed.</p> <p>Further reference to superfast broadband coverage added to wording on p26.</p> <p>A broadband indicator has been added to Ambitions.</p> <p>£s removed - Further explanatory wording added.</p> <p>All locality plans and LOIP have elements for Economy, People and Place. We understand physical and environmental issues as underlying determinants of outcomes for people. Our emphasis on inequalities is about better outcomes for people.</p> <p>There is a range of actions in locality plans which is about the physical aspects of place and which we think contribute to a fairer more equal Angus.</p> <p>There is a particular difficulty in getting natural environment data at an Angus level: this will remain under review.</p> <p>Any duties around enforcement remain in force. We recognise the role of enforcement, including its preventative impact but we are trying to set a direction of travel which would reduce its need.</p>
<p>Q6 – Our Ambitions</p>	
<p>3 Respondents agreed with the vision.</p>	<p>Support noted.</p>

LOCAL OUTCOMES IMPROVEMENT PLAN 2017-2030

Comments	Response
<p>Additional comments:</p> <p><i>“The ambitions do not take cognisance of the context of Angus and seek to be within the top 5 local authority areas for all ambitions rather than tailoring these to the particular ambition. For example Angus is a low income economy which translate to other aspects such as house prices etc. The top earning areas are not predominantly rural so by setting a top 5 is not realistic and likely to fail.”</i></p> <p><i>“Recommend including targets around the uptake of Food for Life Served Here at bronze level with targets to move on to the silver and gold awards.”</i></p> <p><i>“...we would like to see more references to legislation, all key strategy documents and data relating to targets etc. for environmental issues such as anti-social behaviour, biodiversity, littering, wildlife protection and coastal protection.”</i></p>	<p>The ambitions are intended to be ‘ambitious’ and encourage creative joint solutions from partners.</p> <p>Interesting programme which may be useful in implementation of plans. However, we are unable to include all programmes in the document.</p> <p>Natural environment data is very difficult to come by for local areas. This does, however, remain under review.</p>
<p align="center">Q7 – Further Comments</p> <p><i>“Presenting the evidence to the report first, before setting out themes and priorities would provide a better flow.”</i></p> <p><i>“The outcome tree is a little confusing and could better set out the themes, providing the link to the table in section 2.”</i></p> <p><i>“Ageing population, improved participation, improved economy, connectivity, fuel poverty and attainment at S4 level appear as priorities in the data. These could be better summarised in the information provided, and then linked to local and area outcomes, considering how these things might be improved.”</i></p> <p><i>“Based on the format for the document, I feel it is designed for the public as its primary audience. For this to be consistent however, some of the</i></p>	<p>Noted that this is a different way we could have approached it. Some people are put off by evidence & data.</p> <p>This description describes the intention. ‘Leaves’ are simply decoration.</p> <p>We have tried to make these connections in a simple and often visual way. We agree this could have been approached in many different ways – we have chosen one way.</p> <p>co-investigation – further description added</p>

LOCAL OUTCOMES IMPROVEMENT PLAN 2017-2030

Comments	Response
<p><i>language needs to be a little clearer. For example, I am unsure what 'street conversations and co-investigations' means"</i></p> <p><i>"Is there an action plan which sits below each priority, considering how all ambitions will be achieved with short, medium and long term actions?"</i></p> <p><i>"Angus wide plans priorities – no mention how these to be achieved with the lack of timescales"</i></p> <p><i>"All subjects should be sub-headed such as Education, Services, Economy"</i></p> <p><i>"... more examples of current preventative work particularly from that in the third sector ... greater emphasis on helping people to remain independent..."</i></p> <p><i>"Dementia is a major issue at the moment and for the future"</i></p> <p><i>"...many members of the Third Sector Collaborative have also contributed toward these consultations and documents and it would be nice to have this recognised."</i></p> <p><i>"...the fact about James Chalmers is apparently slightly wrong - it was that he invented the adhesive on the postage stamps."</i></p> <p><i>"It may also be helpful to compare the poorer than Scottish average "healthy birth-weight" measures..."</i></p> <p><i>"The photograph on page 10, 'what we know about Carnoustie, Monifieth and Sidlaw locality' appears to show a picture of the Angus Glens."</i></p> <p><i>"It would be helpful to see more detail on how the Council will deliver these ambitions, from a financial and resource perspective along with a more detailed timeline than by 2030 or 2020"</i></p>	<p>The intention of the LOIP is to set long term vision and ambition. The partnership structure includes an Executive Group which will be responsible for leading on implementation including the alignment of resources and management of performance.</p> <p>Outcome Ambitions are to 2030. The LOIP sets the vision and ambition which will be implemented by partners jointly and individually.</p> <p>Considered in re-design of some sections (e.g. p9 locality plans</p> <p>Added element for self-management</p> <p>Added dementia friendly communities</p> <p>Added Third Sector Collaborative</p> <p>Changed to 'adhesive postage stamp'</p> <p>healthy birth weight data no longer available below health board level</p> <p>Photograph changed</p> <p>These plans are about what can be delivered in partnership delivery, not by the council alone. More detailed plans will be developed by locality partnerships which will soon be formed.</p>

