



**ANGUS COMMUNITY PLANNING PARTNERSHIP**

**CONSULTATION FEEDBACK SEPTEMBER 2017**

<b>CARNOUSTIE/MONIFIETH/SIDLAW LOCALITY PLAN 2017</b>	
<b>Comments</b>	<b>Response</b>
Some comments re typos, layout, inconsistencies of terminology and jargon.	These have been addressed.
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Q 9 – The Vision and Priorities</b></p> <p>-Additional comments:</p> <p>“not clear that the narrative vision in the plan is a description of how we would like things to be – as distinct from how they are.”</p> <p>“As currently expressed, insufficient priority is given to the access and transport challenges in South Angus – both in the vision and the priorities.”</p> <p>“On page 9 under Place we would like to see a specific reference made to Angus being CLEAN and welcoming. Also well connected by roads, cycle tracks, public transport and PUBLIC TOILETS. Public toilets link directly to health and well being and tourism. We know that the Council does not have a duty to provide them but they are a critical part of the rural infrastructure and also to inequality. If there are no loos en-route then large sections of our society can not access the outdoors. Really disappointed to find that previous feedback we provided about no reference to the SMP2 has not been taken cognisance of. The SMP2 is critical and central to locality planning for coastal communities in Angus.”</p> <p>“Building in Carnoustie needs to be carefully managed.too many green spaces disappearing. Its a small coastal golfing town NOT A SUBURB OF DUNDEE”</p> <p>“Vision for Monifieth, Carnoustie and Sidlaw is ambitious and will benefit the region in many ways. The rural areas are complex and I feel are</p>	<p>The heading for this section was made clearer.</p> <p>There are several references to these (pages 11, 15, 17, 23) Minor change made to reference to school transport on page 11 –Their journeys take in excess of 40 minutes each way.</p> <p>This is implicit to the improvement of facilities.</p> <p>We have not referenced any specific policies but would want these to inform seafront and coastal improvement.</p> <p>Noted. Land planning policy is detailed in the local development plan.</p> <p>This is a vision for partnership working, not only Angus Council. Comments are noted and will be picked up under</p>

**CARNOUSTIE/MONIFIETH/SIDLAW LOCALITY PLAN 2017**

<b>Comments</b>	<b>Response</b>
<p>going to lack in vision and priorities Angus Council has. As there is little in public transport, and very little in the way of recreational activities in certain areas, maybe meetings in eg. Murroes/Wellbank/Monikie vicinity to gauge what communities can do to encourage all residents, young and older, to get involved in clubs/workshops/classes, and get to meet others to form groups of similar interests. Community Planning could maybe organise these meetings to help engage residents.”</p> <p>“Page 4 – I would delete We all know that the Carnoustie, Monifieth and Sidlaw area is a place most people enjoy a good quality of life. However, that isn’t the case for all members of the community and our commitment is to change that. – starting with ...we want would sound more like a call to action? “</p> <p>“P5 – “people who live there” – what about those that work there and have businesses?</p> <p>“Talks of the four localities but this document is only talking of the 3 mentioned? If they are unique say so and can all have a central theme of addressing poverty and inequality.”</p> <p>“Page 7 would be good for the online document if there were links to any of the work done at each locality.”</p> <p>P11“across the locality there are significant housing developments taking place” – define more specifically as there are no new housing developments taking place in MBLCC area....</p>	<p>the areas for action by the locality implementation plan.</p> <p>Noted, however we think it is important for the reduction in inequalities is mentioned first.</p> <p>Changed to ‘people who live and work there’</p> <p>Carnoustie, Monifieth and Sidlaw is one of four localities: this has been clarified on p5 of the localities plan.</p> <p>Useful suggestion for further developing on-line presence.</p> <p>Amended to say ‘in some parts of’</p>
<p align="center"><b>Q10 – The Economy</b></p> <p>“P15 – query re comment about golfers especially when golf is not referenced anywhere else as a priority and no actions on golf in document</p> <p>“P16 – we will sentences are very ambitious! I liked the idea of putting Together we will so it doesn’t sound like it’s the Council alone who will deliver”</p>	<p>Golf is referenced on pages 9 and 15. More specific actions will be considered by Locality Implementation Partnerships.</p> <p>‘Together’ has been added at the beginning of statements to emphasise that these areas for action require input from all.</p>

**CARNOUSTIE/MONIFIETH/SIDLAW LOCALITY PLAN 2017**

Comments	Response
<p>“P16 – the areas for action make it sound like these are going to be new initiatives but all these activities are already in operation. What plans are there to introduce activities over and above the existing offer to improve things. People are going to be expecting more to be done and not sure that is going to be the case. For example, the developing tourism section - what further action is going to be taken?”</p> <p>“P17 – We will promote positive mental health and wellbeing for young people and older people. What good is that going to do and what about middle aged people etc? Very vague.”</p> <p>“We will find solutions – that’s great. Will these solutions also be implemented?”</p> <p>“Place – creating civic space? What for? Has anyone actually said that more civic space would be helpful? What is this civic space going to be used for? Where is it going to be? Not a great deal of available space for development of civic space in the town centres of Carnoustie or Monifieth so how deliverable is this?”</p> <p>“Under economy – it says attract new and creative business – (businesses?), but why specifically creative, that hasn’t been mentioned before? Does that suit the local economy?”</p> <p>“The biggest challenge in the Sidlaw area of Angus (and Tealing in particular) is lack of easy access to services, poor and infrequent public transport, the slicing through of the community by the highly dangerous A90 (most dangerous road in Scotland for accidents and fatalities over the last 5 years) plus extremely poor digital connectivity. All of these things combine to restrict the opportunities for the local economy to blossom and yet are not adequately expressed in the comments or actions proposed. We .....would like to see this context better expressed and understood and, most importantly, seek</p>	<p>Locality implementation partnerships will formulate more specific plans. Further action will be dependent on all of us – this might be about doing it differently rather than more.</p> <p>This is an area that has been highlighted in research and discussions. More detailed action plans to be developed</p> <p>We need to implement solutions to be able to demonstrate improvement, which is the point of these plans. Implementation is everyone’s responsibility.</p> <p>This was highlighted in both Carnoustie and Monifieth charrettes.  <a href="https://www.angus.gov.uk/community_support/charrettes">https://www.angus.gov.uk/community_support/charrettes</a></p> <p>This is about creativity/innovation rather than (necessarily) creative industries.</p> <p>The Carnoustie, Monifieth &amp; Sidlaw Locality Plan includes the Area for Action of ‘We will improve connections within the locality, with Angus and with Dundee by</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Developing public transport</li> <li>- Improving road safety at key locations.....’</li> </ul> <p>More detail will be developed by the locality improvement partnership.</p> <p>Added in p11: The A90 main trunk road from Dundee to Aberdeen passes through the area and generates a number of challenges in relation to safety, connectivity and sustainability.</p>

**CARNOUSTIE/MONIFIETH/SIDLAW LOCALITY PLAN 2017**

Comments	Response
<p>support in the local improvement plan for the objectives of the long standing A90 (through Tealing) Safety Improvement Campaign. Better public transport would also improve safety by reducing the number of cars on the many dangerous junctions in our area and improve access to services in Forfar and Dundee. Also, the plan/s make almost no reference to the very large numbers of people living in rural Angus working from home and therefore in need of much improved and more reliable broadband services.”</p> <p>“Difficult to determine if these are achievable because there appears to be no indication of timescales for achieving the actions. Is the timescale aligned to the 2030 ambition of the LOIP?”</p> <p>“Just that digital connectivity is important as you have identified. Also, tourism and the importance of Angus being Clean and having a network of high quality public toilets in rural areas.”</p> <p>“I would like to see more emphasis on the opportunities presented by work going on in our local colleges to promote careers in care. We have an elderly population and a demand for our local care workforce, yet there are comments about lower numbers than may be expected from Carnoustie High leaving school with a positive outcome. Locality improvement groups for health and social integration are working with local schools and work in this area should be highlighted.”</p> <p>“Missing - What are you going to do to attract employment and retail opportunities for villages and rural communities – if nothing, say so if that is part of your strategy. Why only include employment opportunities for young people, and not employment opportunities for other adults, and if segmenting adults what about employment opportunities for women, women with school age children – why are they excluded ? Young people tend to be</p>	<p>Improving digital connectivity is highlighted in the plan (pages 9, 15, 16 and 23) and is a focus for the Angus Local Outcomes Improvement Plan</p> <p>The vision expressed is a long term vision. Detailed action plans to be developed by locality implementation partnerships.</p> <p>Support noted.</p> <p>This is implicit in the improvement of facilities. The issue of civic pride and cleanliness underpins ambitions.</p> <p>We are trying to increase responses to stresses on services and improve employability. All of these relate to the HSC agenda – the detail will lie in more specific documents.</p> <p>Retail development is specified for town and town centres. Employability covers all.</p> <p>This is not about exclusion, but focus and target.</p>

**CARNOUSTIE/MONIFIETH/SIDLAW LOCALITY PLAN 2017**

Comments	Response
<p>more transient</p> <p>P16 – must include action to <b>install or get installed</b> necessary infrastructure particularly for fast internet access, and improved mobile signal within houses (no point in there being coverage at the bus stop along the road, or at the bottom of the garden if the phone doesn't ring or can't get a signal when you want to use it."</p>	<p>Digital infrastructure is a priority area for action in this plan and also the Angus Local outcomes Improvement Plan.</p>
<p align="center"><b>Q11 – People</b></p> <p>- "Yes. The vision refers to working together effectively. I absolutely support this however it goes on to mention increasing GP appointments. This is despite the local practices providing more appointments than average and the majority of the population having good health. Consultation with the GP practices would have been helpful prior to production of the plan. The priority of increasing access to GP appointments where there are problems could be far better expressed. Something along the lines of we will work with the local GP practices in the development of new models of health and social care which empower the community to improve their health. In the future GPs will work as part of a multi-disciplinary team to meet the needs of the population and ensure sustainability of the service so access to GP appointments for those who need them is available."</p> <p>"Interested in the statement on page 16 (Areas for Action") "We will improve access to GP appointments where there are problems". How do you intend to audit this? To date, there has no approach from your group to formally assess appointment availability; other than that supposedly gleaned from data available Nationally...."</p> <p>"I read with interest and despair the comment on page 16 – "We will improve access to GP appointments where there are problems" .....how do you plan on doing that</p>	<p>-Wording changed to 'together we will work with the local GP practices in the development of new models of health and social care'</p> <p>As above: Wording changed to 'together we will work with the local GP practices in the development of new models of health and social care'</p> <p>As above: Wording changed to 'together we will work with</p>

**CARNOUSTIE/MONIFIETH/SIDLAW LOCALITY PLAN 2017**

Comments	Response
<p>when there has been no dialogue or contact with the GP Surgery?? Where do you plan on finding GPs as I would be very interested - I can't find them to work in the Practice.”</p> <p>There is no reference to Barry Downs Holiday Park - why?? The numbers are growing all the time and the majority of the patients have multiple complex patient needs - this has a huge impact on the Practice. No reference to the proposed housing developments either - this will have a massive effect on the Practice and appointment availability.”</p> <p>“The people of Tealing and Sidlaw feel that our distinctive needs and challenges are subsumed and undermined by the way the community planning partnership and Angus Council always subsume our community under the umbrella of the much larger Monifieth and Carnoustie areas. In this plan, as per usual, the Areas for Action are heavily weighted towards the needs of Monifieth and Carnoustie and extremely light on what will be done over the next few years in the Tealing and Sidlaw area to improve access to services, reduce the accident rate on the junctions near the A90, to specifically improve Broadband in Tealing (which is STILL using a very outdated telephone analogue exchange) and to fairly make our voice heard in equal terms with the larger and distant communities of Monifieth and Carnoustie. In reality, there is no commonality or traditional community links between our inland (and completely landlocked) farming community and the coastal communities of Monifieth and Carnoustie, which means that your insistence on lumping them together results in the needs of Tealing and Sidlaw being heavily overshadowed. This plan is likely to perpetuate that imbalance.”</p> <p>“Would like to have seen full references for some of the inequalities data which you selected to use in this document. For example, exactly what percentage are parts of Carnoustie west amongst 20% of the most deprived areas of Scotland? Also a bit worrying that there is conflicting data such as that highlighted on page 14 about</p>	<p>the local GP practices in the development of new models of health and social care’</p> <p>This is recognised by a general action about responding to increased demand.</p> <p>Connectivity/public transport/access to services are areas for action in the plan.</p> <p>We recognise that there are different and unique communities in each locality and the challenge for locality implementation partnerships is to respond appropriately and proportionately.</p> <p>P17 under ‘we will improve connections’ – this includes ‘increasing road safety in key locations’</p> <p>P18 – there is reference to ‘committing (resources) where they are most needed and will make the biggest difference.’</p> <p>Reference to A90 challenges added – p.11</p> <p>Further details are available in the profiles published on the same page as the locality plans.</p> <p>Wording on page 14 has been made clearer.</p>

**CARNOUSTIE/MONIFIETH/SIDLAW LOCALITY PLAN 2017**

<b>Comments</b>	<b>Response</b>
<p>population trends.”</p> <p>“Tourist facilities should be improved”</p> <p>“I absolutely support work to improve employment opportunities. Also worth talking about social value. The three most important of health in older age are social value, loneliness and exercise - this is where efforts to improve health locally should focus. We have a great befriending service - next build on the importance of community groups for social value and facilities for support re exercise. The emphasis on mental health, particularly in young people, is especially important.”</p> <p>“Promote positive mental health and well-being – this is not a real “action” safe for a marketing campaign saying “be happy”, “talk and walk” If that is all you are aiming for – say so.</p> <p>P17 – amend “We will find solutions to the capacity issues” to “We will find solutions to the capacity and access issues our services are experiencing”</p>	<p>Noted – included in proposals</p> <p>Support noted.</p> <p>Further detail will be developed by locality partnerships and contained within single agency plans, including the HSC locality improvement plan.</p> <p>This subject was raised in many engagement events and is one of the three areas of focus in the Angus Local Outcome Improvement Plan. This plan contains areas for action not specific actions.</p> <p>There are references on p16 - ‘together we will improve access to services’ and on p17 - ‘together we will improve connections’</p>
<p align="center"><b>Q12 – Place</b></p> <p>“In particular, we feel this plan must acknowledge the social and economic impact of the A90 cutting right through the village of Tealing with a 70mph speed limit. A scenario unique in Angus with villagers living right along both sides and zig zagging across it every day. Transport Scotland has openly acknowledged that this stretch of the A90 is an accident blackspot and, in consultation with Tealing Community Council, is currently undertaking a full safety review. The Transport Minister has also welcomed the review and yet this plan does not even acknowledge that this issue affects the communities of South Angus. In our view, this is a MAJOR omission which must be addressed in the draft.”</p>	<p>-(Comments are a continuation of commentary from Q11)</p> <p>Connectivity/public transport/access to services are areas for action in the plan.</p> <p>P17- under ‘we will improve connections’ – this includes ‘increasing road safety in key locations’</p> <p>Reference to A90 challenges added – p.11</p>

**CARNOUSTIE/MONIFIETH/SIDLAW LOCALITY PLAN 2017**

Comments	Response
<p>“There are no actions identified for enhancing and protecting the natural environment. This omission has probably occurred due to a lack of data and focus on the environment as previously mentioned and also the lack of attention to interdependent strategies such as the SMP2. Section on Reducing Carbon Footprint seems a bit limited. Could link to sustainable Scotland themes of shopping wisely, supporting local food producers, car sharing, increasing access to public transport etc. We already have some of the best walking and cycling facilities in Europe. What is missing is a network of high quality public toilets along the various routes to support people to use them.”</p> <p>“I support the effort to improve sporting facilities”</p>	<p>‘An enhanced, protected and enjoyed natural and built environment’ is one the Angus Community Planning Partnership’s local outcomes. As suggested, there is a particular difficulty in obtaining data for the natural environment at a local level. This will continue to be reviewed.</p> <p>These are useful suggestions for the more detailed discussions at locality improvement partnerships.</p> <p>Support noted.</p>
<p align="center"><b>Q13 – Further comments</b></p> <p>“For all of the reasons aforementioned, we seek a rewrite of the Locality Plan to much better reflect the challenges and priorities of people and businesses living in Tealing and the wider Sidlaw area. As currently expressed, I'm sure you will be disappointed to hear that it does not fairly address all of the needs and aspirations of our community.”</p> <p>“All really well written, easy to read - especially locality plans (including data)”</p> <p>“Please replace in P18 and throughout the document the phrase “Carnoustie, Monifieth and Sidlaw” with “Carnoustie, Monifieth, Sidlaw and South West Angus”</p> <p>“If the scope and remit of the Locality Implementation Partnership is limited to only the actions and commitments in this plan, then specific issues and actions for South West Angus cannot be and should not be excluded.....On p17 “Add new bullet point “We will enhance South Angus by .....” as it is noticeably missing whereas the three other areas are mentioned.”</p>	<p>We note your comments and believe that many of the areas for action are applicable to the area. Our collective challenge in implementing the plans will be to address the needs of the whole locality while targeting resources to where they are most needed.</p> <p>Support noted</p> <p>The locality is currently named for the multi member wards – South West Angus is presumed to be included in Sidlaw. Replacing Sidlaw with South West Angus for the locality may be considered in future.</p> <p>Specific reference to Carnoustie and Monifieth has been removed from ‘developing new facilities’ on p17. Other references relate to specifics such as town centres. Partnerships will give priority to the actions in the plan, but their broad function is helping to deliver outcomes in the area, across the full range of local outcomes and related activities. Settlements are listed on p10.</p>



**CARNOUSTIE/MONIFIETH/SIDLAW LOCALITY PLAN 2017**

Comments	Response
<p>“This plan appears to have already cemented and furthered the inequality of access to resources and services by focusing almost exclusively to the east of the area and the two towns of Carnoustie and Monifieth and its environs throughout the plan...”</p> <p>“How will you ensure fair and equitable representation on the partnership boards and locality board.”</p> <p>“Why is there no priority or actions towards attainment see ambitions re attainment - and only priority and actions to ensure 100% of pupils reach a positive destination, when the statistics show that for every 100 pupils, average of 4 do not reach positive destination (which could be for other reasons such as illness, parenthood, pregnancy)”</p> <p>“It should be specifically noted in the report that the planned publication date of the Hear Here charrette report of end of July 2017 has not been met, and the charrette report, its recommendations and outcomes have been withheld by the Council and have not been published or made publicly available including for comment and verification by the community, before the deadline for comment on this locality plan”</p> <p>“There is no planned timescales or apparent intention intimated in this report to review or update it.”</p> <p>“On p21 it states “be prepared to share and shift resources”and one total “pool of resources” for the locality” – what resources ? is this for Council and funded organisations, or are you suggesting that unpaid community volunteers for example guide leaders in South West Angus have to be prepared to stop providing guiding in their local area to drive an hour (at rush hour) across Dundee to provide guiding in Carnoustie because there is a greater number of girls/need in Carnoustie compared to South West Angus –</p>	<p>Access to services and addressing challenges is a clear priority in the plan and applies across the locality</p> <p>Communities will make the decision in relation to locality representation. The Board representation process is yet to be finalised by the Board.</p> <p>Attainment is a priority in all Angus schools, with a particular priority to closing the poverty related attainment gap. The Angus Local Outcome Improvement Plan includes specific attainment ambitions. Re positive destinations: there is room for improvement and better destinations for some. This is one area for action which addresses the outcome ‘more opportunities for people to achieve success’.</p> <p>This is not accurate. The report has not yet been released, but the focus and nature of the recommendations have been shared and offers made to start working on these prior to the formal publication of any report.</p> <p>Agreed. No specific timescale. Seen as an evolving document which will be kept under review. Also commitments to regular progress reporting and future joint working. A bullet regarding reviewing the plan has been added on p22.</p> <p>This is primarily about public service budgets, but there is a recognition that the contributions of communities are part of that resource and can help to deliver outcomes in <i>their own</i> communities. There is also an aspiration that community organisations will act more collaboratively and have their eye on the priorities and outcomes – while respecting their independence and purpose.</p>

**CARNOUSTIE/MONIFIETH/SIDLAW LOCALITY PLAN 2017**

Comments	Response
<p>and potentially this might make the “most difference” and “it is the right thing to do”? Ditto, the Friday Night Volunteers project at the Millennium Hall ? Who and what determines what is the right thing to do?”</p> <p>“If you are using this locality plan as the item the Partnership Boards/Executive Group are accountable on – then surely all of the actions and objectives need to be SMART related – Specific, Measureable, Achievable, Realistic and Time scaled – or similarly defined. As there are no such indicators, it’s not clear how you intend measuring effectiveness or success.”</p> <p>“Please specify the measures and the decision makers and the organisations expected to share and shift resources”</p> <p>“Define who is “Community Planning Partnership” – department in Angus Council, who are community representatives.”</p> <p>“P18 – Change “Address access to services especially in the more rural areas” to “Address and improve access to services in the more rural areas”</p> <p>“Detail the relationship, membership, scope and objectives of the Partnership Board compared to the Executive Board”</p> <p>“P21 – please define within the report “organisations” in the phrase “the deployment of resources in each of our separate organisations” – does this mean organisations such as the Draft Comments – LC 6 Sept 2017 council, health board or does that include each separate community council ? It is very difficult to know who this plan is meant for as it is neither explicit or clear, and community organisations just seemed to be tagged on as an after thought or to replace funded resources and/or professionals with unpaid volunteers from the community.”</p> <p>“P23 – Place – the report mentions connections</p>	<p>Smart actions will be created and embedded across the partnership. Measuring of overall progress against outcomes will be high level. The plans contain areas for action and not specific actions</p> <p>All partners – in an appropriate and proportionate way</p> <p>Partners are listed on the back cover of the document</p> <p>Changed to ‘Improve access...’</p> <p>The detail of this information will be made available on the community planning web pages when finalised. (<a href="http://www.angus.gov.uk/acpp">www.angus.gov.uk/acpp</a>)</p> <p>Organisations means all organisations and the document seeks to include and recognise contribution.</p> <p>‘in the area’ removed</p>

**CARNOUSTIE/MONIFIETH/SIDLAW LOCALITY PLAN 2017**

<b>Comments</b>	<b>Response</b>
<p>from the area to Dundee and other parts of Angus, this is not covered by the more limited “improve connections in the area”.</p> <p>“P24 – Partners – why is the Community last and at the bottom of the list? This is indicative of the process and the last minute, delayed of the community consultation (not a priority) and no publication yet of the results or recommendations”</p>	<p>The list is now alphabetical. See page 7 for a summary of community engagement with timeline.</p>