## **EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT**

| Project Ref: PL004 Reduced Service Level of Winter Maintenance - Footpaths   |  |  |
|--|--|--|
|  |  |  |
| What is the proposed budget saving? (Use same wording as Change Programme template).   |  |  |
| Total annual spend by Angus Council on winter maintenance is approximately £2.7M. including spend on footways.   |  |  |
| The proposition underlying this proposal is that:  |  |  |
| Savings can be delivered through reducing the levels of service, especially with regards to footway gritting   |  |  |
| Routes that are considered priority can be reviewed and reduced  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Screening Process  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Does the proposed saving involve or have consequences for the people the council serves or employs?  |  |  |
| Voc M No O   |  |  |
| Yes No No  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| If yes, proceed to Step 1 of the Full Equality Impact Assessment on page 2.  |  |  |
| year, proceedings of the second secon |  |  |
| If No, please state why not.   |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| If no, the budget saving is not relevant and no further action is required.  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Impact Assessment Carried Out By:  |  |  |
| Deter  |  |  |
| Date:  |  |  |
| Please forward to Catriona Ferrier (ferrierc@angus.gov.uk)   |  |  |

## EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT FULL ASSESSMENT

## Step 1

|   | llable to assess the likely impact of the proposed saving? |  |
|---|--|--|
| None  |  |  |
|   |  |  |
| Stop 2  |  |  |
| Step 2 Is there any reason to believe the proposal could affect people differently due to their protected characteristic ie age; disability; gender; gender re-assignment; pregnancy/maternity; marriage and civil partnership; race; religion and belief; and sexual orientation? Please place a cross in each box that applies, and give details alongside. |  |  |
| Age   | ⊠ Foot travel by the elderly and young                     |  |
| Disability  | ☐ Foot travel by people with disability                    |  |
| Gender  |  |  |
| Gender Re-assignment  |  |  |
| Pregnancy/maternity   |  |  |
| Marriage and civil<br>Partnership   |  |  |
| Race  |  |  |
| Religion and belief   |  |  |
| Sexual orientation  |  |  |
|   |  |  |
| Step 3 Is there evidence to suggest that any part of the proposed saving could unlawfully discriminate against people?  Yes  No  If Yes, please give details.   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
| Step 4 Can the proposed saving be seen to favour one section of the community?  |  |  |
| Yes ⊠ No □  |  |  |
| or deny opportunities to another?   |  |  |
| Yes ☐ No ☒ If Yes, please give details.   |  |  |
| This will be a personal choice of the individual/group who may choose not to leave home if footpath in their area/grouping not treated.   |  |  |

| Step 5 Does the proposed saving advance equality?  |
|--|
| Yes □ No ⊠   |
| Or restrict equality?  |
| Yes ☐ No ☒ If Yes, please give details.  |
|  |
| Step 6 Based on the work you have done, rate the level of impact for any of the equality groups of the proposed saving.  |
| High ☐ Medium ☐ Low ☑ Unknown ☐  |
| Step 7 If during Steps 2 - 5 there has been an adverse impact identified, consider if any adverse impact can be justified.   |
| Yes ⊠ No □ Please give details.  |
| The treatment of footways in Angus is currently higher than the majority of other authorities. The impact is across the road network with service focus on the higher usage to retail, health centres, schools |
| Impact Assessment Carried Out By: Ian Cochrane   |
| Date: 12 January 2018  |
| Please forward to Catriona Ferrier (ferrierc@angus.gov.uk)   |