

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 03 August 2022 15:29
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: 20mph Speed Limit Order 202X - Objection

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 03 August 2022 00:25
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: 20mph Speed Limit Order 202X - Objection

Good Morning

[REDACTED]
Thank you for your prompt response

I had a good understanding of the purpose of the scheme however it is pretty clear to anyone living in these areas that the scheme has failed miserably to deliver any improvements in the way of public safety whether this be on foot, bike or horse. As someone who does walk around I have frequently had to take to verges or jump onto pavements to escape vehicles travelling at excessive speed pulling my dog or disabled son out of the way of oncoming traffic. By continuing with this I'll conceived process Angus Council is lulling the public into thinking they are in a safe and traffic calmed area. This is confirmed by your response which talks about perceived dominance in reality it is not perceived but an actual physical risk. This is the reality, and the council fails to provide any evidence that this scheme is delivering for its residents. You also fail to explain how your office measured the community's satisfaction with this scheme so clearly your process is significantly flawed and you are simply following a process at the public expense and to tick a box.

It is interesting to note that when my wife requested information on traffic surveys in this area Angus Council completely ignored the request which suggests that Angus Council has no data on increasing traffic trends and potential issues going forward.

I note at no point do explain why the council went down the route of digital signage instead of physical measures such as Road humps or village gateways which would have provided an effective option. Neither was information provided on who the Council engaged with in relation to the setting up of this scheme.

Currently residents in Fowlis are up in arms over traffic speed and this scheme is a laughing stock with home owners. As a council you have identified issues with traffic volume and safety and have installed some signs telling visitors to Piperdam Holiday Park to switch off sat nav and to follow road signs, the 20mph digital signage has no effect on these visitors and they continue to travel at high speed having come off the dual carriageway. We also have to contend with traffic using the village as an escape route during road works and accidents on the dual carriageway.

I note that at no time as the roads department offered to meet with residents to look at the issues, your sole interest being to push this process through. I hope that in the near future proposals will be put forward which actually calm the speed before a fatality occurs.

I along with other villagers would be delighted if you could confirm the cost of setting up this flawed scheme including the purchase cost of these signs, and installation cost for the village of Fowlis.

I hope when it reaches the relevant committee that they actually consider the actual needs of the community's in Angus, instead of following along with some half hearted scheme dreamt up by Edinburgh, which will never be enforced by Police Scotland.

To this end I will not be retracting my objection.

Yours Faithfully

[REDACTED]