

Comments for Planning Application 21/00337/FULM

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00337/FULM

Address: Field 530M West Of North Mains Of Cononsyth Farm Cononsyth Arbroath

Proposal: Erection of two 32,000 capacity free-range hen sheds and associated infrastructure including feed silos, egg packing facility, vehicular access, access tracks, drainage and landscaping

Case Officer: Ruari Kelly

Customer Details

Name: Miss Abby Steel

Address: St Francis Diss Ip22 2ej

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I would like to object to the above for these reasons!

- Carbon dioxide equivalent output of the proposed development
- Scale and location of CFL's proposed development and its 'fit' in the landscape
- Taking Prime Agricultural Land out of production
- Not considering brownfield sites, and sites near existing farm buildings
- Proposing to build to build very close to residential properties
- Negative effects on the water environment
- Direct conflicts with other aspects of the environment and on the amenity of those who live nearby

Comments for Planning Application 21/00337/FULM

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00337/FULM

Address: Field 530M West Of North Mains Of Cononsyth Farm Cononsyth Arbroath

Proposal: Erection of two 32,000 capacity free-range hen sheds and associated infrastructure including feed silos, egg packing facility, vehicular access, access tracks, drainage and landscaping

Case Officer: Ruari Kelly

Customer Details

Name: Mr Andrew Service

Address: Druidswell, Kinclaven Road Murthly Perth PH1 4EY

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I object most strongly on this proposal as there are already too many of these installations in Angus and Perthshire.

The residents are put under undue stress regarding their health, environment and the contamination to the area.

There are plenty of abandoned poultry sites that can be utilised for this use but of course, the company's do not want to use them as they are already contaminated, they would rather contaminate a new area before they move on.

With the pandemic you would think that humanity has learned some lessons but obviously not. The Councils should be saying enough, no more, Scotland has already more than it's fair share of these facilities and the welfare of the people should be paramount, that's before we get to the welfare of these poor birds and the conditions they have to live in for their short lives.

I strongly object to this proposal.

Comments for Planning Application 21/00337/FULM

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00337/FULM

Address: Field 530M West Of North Mains Of Cononsyth Farm Cononsyth Arbroath

Proposal: Erection of two 32,000 capacity free-range hen sheds and associated infrastructure including feed silos, egg packing facility, vehicular access, access tracks, drainage and landscaping

Case Officer: Ruari Kelly

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Anne Taylor

Address: 12 West Drive Keighley BD22 9LJ

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I am strongly objecting to this application on the grounds of environmental damage and risk to human health from yet another factory farm being potentially approved in the UK.

All councils need to look at the bigger picture of what intensive farming is doing to the planet. We already have over 800 mega farms in the UK, and most of the intensive chicken farms are supplied with feed from deforested areas such as in Brazil, to grow soya and other human edible crops to feed the chickens. Please check where the food will come from. Also much transport will be needed to provide food, take birds to slaughter, bring new birds, remove waste, adding to pollution

Yes farming is vitally important, but plant based crops for humans are needed, not more chicken meat, with its risk of avian flu. We already have an avian flu crisis in the UK, and are risking another pandemic with every new chicken farm that is produced

64,000 chickens will produce a lot of waste product, which will pollute local air and rivers. Although animal welfare is not considered in planning applications, morally it should be. Chickens kept for meat will have to live in their own waste until taken for slaughter. The ammonia and nitrate burns their legs and causes respiratory difficulties for the birds. Although free range, the amount of space they have at full size will be equivalent to an A4 sheet of paper. Unless RSPCA approved they will be fast growing, which means their legs will not support their weight, so they grow to full size in 6 weeks but suffer a lot of pain, many die before being taken for slaughter. Thinning is often carried out, where part of the flock is removed before the main flock. This is extremely stressful for the birds.

Farmers need to look at other environmentally ways of farming, which the government is supporting now with grants and new schemes to encourage rewilding, and public goods for public money which this farmer could look into. see <https://www.vegansociety.com/take-action/campaigns/grow-green>

Comments for Planning Application 21/00337/FULM

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00337/FULM

Address: Field 530M West Of North Mains Of Cononsyth Farm Cononsyth Arbroath

Proposal: Erection of two 32,000 capacity free-range hen sheds and associated infrastructure including feed silos, egg packing facility, vehicular access, access tracks, drainage and landscaping

Case Officer: Ruari Kelly

Customer Details

Name: Mr Anton Beaver

Address: 9 Westfield Terrace Newport on Tay DD68HX

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: This sounds like intensive farming and the environmental impact will be too great to justify the economic benefit that it may or may not bring. To have so many birds it seems doubtful that the term free range can be used.

For the local population this will inevitably bring immense disturbance and inconvenience due to the increased heavy vehicles used and have an impact on the rural air quality due to the intensive odours occurring from the intensive use of the site.

Animal welfare is at stake and seems counter to the changing few on the environmental impact of food production on climate change.

I strongly object to this proposed development and urge the planning department to not allow this to go ahead.

Yours sincerely

Anton Beaver

Comments for Planning Application 21/00337/FULM

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00337/FULM

Address: Field 530M West Of North Mains Of Cononsyth Farm Cononsyth Arbroath

Proposal: Erection of two 32,000 capacity free-range hen sheds and associated infrastructure including feed silos, egg packing facility, vehicular access, access tracks, drainage and landscaping

Case Officer: Ruari Kelly

Customer Details

Name: Mr Crawford Taylor

Address: Carmyllie Schoolhouse Redford Arbroath DD11 2RD

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I am writing to object to the plans for Cononsyth Farms to site two large sheds, housing a total of 64000 hens in the area marked in the plans for the development. Any development such as that proposed would have a great detrimental impact on the surroundings and its residents. It would have adverse effects on air pollution, and therefore, health.

Angus Council and its Planning Department have duty to protect the environment and the people of Angus and need to do the right thing here and refuse this application. The wishes of one farmer must not be allowed to ride roughshod over the views of the majority of the local residents.

Yours,

Crawford Taylor
Carmyllie Schoolhouse,
Redford
Arbroath

Comments for Planning Application 21/00337/FULM

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00337/FULM

Address: Field 530M West Of North Mains Of Cononsyth Farm Cononsyth Arbroath

Proposal: Erection of two 32,000 capacity free-range hen sheds and associated infrastructure including feed silos, egg packing facility, vehicular access, access tracks, drainage and landscaping

Case Officer: Ruari Kelly

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Diane Stanley

Address: The Farmhouse East Mains of Dumbarrow Forfar Dd82sr

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I wish to object to this development due to

Unsuitable access down narrow country lane

Increased traffic flow and road safety issues for walkers cyclists and horse riders

Smell and odour from sheds and chicken waste

Pollution from increased traffic, flooding from contaminated water seeping into surrounding soil

Negative impact on surrounding wildlife

Loss of access for walkers and horse riders

The siting of this development is wrong as it could be closer to existing farm which already has suitable access and amenities in place

Comments for Planning Application 21/00337/FULM

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00337/FULM

Address: Field 530M West Of North Mains Of Cononsyth Farm Cononsyth Arbroath

Proposal: Erection of two 32,000 capacity free-range hen sheds and associated infrastructure including feed silos, egg packing facility, vehicular access, access tracks, drainage and landscaping

Case Officer: Ruari Kelly

Customer Details

Name: Mr Eric John Panczak

Address: [REDACTED]

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I strongly object to this application.

The proposed development would take prime agricultural land out of production. If there is a need for such a large scale production site, which in this day and age I would suggest not, it should, at the very least, be looking to use a brownfield site, or use, or be near to, existing farm buildings.

The proposed scale and location of the proposed development is far too large and would not sit well in the landscape. It is also very close to residential properties, potentially endangering their health and wellbeing.

This application should be rejected in its entirety.

Please don't share/show my address. Thanks.

Comments for Planning Application 21/00337/FULM

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00337/FULM

Address: Field 530M West Of North Mains Of Cononsyth Farm Cononsyth Arbroath

Proposal: Erection of two 32,000 capacity free-range hen sheds and associated infrastructure including feed silos, egg packing facility, vehicular access, access tracks, drainage and landscaping

Case Officer: Ruari Kelly

Customer Details

Name: Ms Fiona Scrimgeour

Address: [REDACTED]

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I wish to object to this application.

The scale and size of these two 32,000 capacity hen sheds along with all the associated infrastructure, vehicular access etc would be hugely detrimental to the area and, if such a large scale production site is essential, should only be considered on a brownfield site, not on prime agricultural land. When many countries and people are starting to turn away from these large-scale production methods and are looking at more environmentally friendly options, it is difficult to see how this proposal is justified. It will be detrimental for the area and any benefits, which I am struggling to identify (apart from profits to the Company) would be far outweighed by the negative impacts.

Across Scotland there are already a number of these types of units for sale. Why would we even consider using new sites when existing sites could be used. It also begs the question why new sites are preferred to existing and it is frightening that the answer is often that the old sites are too contaminated to use!

Please don't be taken in by the Impact Assessment Report that minimises any likely damage, it was commissioned by the applicant and is therefore not an impartial document.

Please reject this application.

Please do not show my address.

Comments for Planning Application 21/00337/FULM

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00337/FULM

Address: Field 530M West Of North Mains Of Cononsyth Farm Cononsyth Arbroath

Proposal: Erection of two 32,000 capacity free-range hen sheds and associated infrastructure including feed silos, egg packing facility, vehicular access, access tracks, drainage and landscaping

Case Officer: Ruari Kelly

Customer Details

Name: Mr Gary Dixon

Address: 55 Heath Road DEREHAM NR20 3JA

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Miscellaneous

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I Object

Ruari Kelly

From: Graeme McLean [REDACTED]
Sent: 04 June 2021 18:51
To: Ruari Kelly
Subject: Planning Application Ref 21/00337/FULM

Good evening Mr Kelly,

I would like to object to the construction of the proposed IPU at Cononsyth Farm for a number of reasons as expressed below.

64000 chickens will inevitably produce vast amounts of manure, and chicken manure has a very high ammonia content which causes a particularly unpleasant odour, while within the sheds there will be some control of this odour, the outside areas will not be controlled and those of us living downwind will suffer the effects of this. During the consultation process Cogeo refused to detail where the manure would be stored and dried. Chicken litter can also be contaminated with pathogens, including bacteria, fungi and viruses; antibiotics and antibiotic-resistant genes; growth hormones such as egg and meat boosters; heavy metals; and pesticides. Surface water runoff from the free-range area will not be controlled and these contaminants and pathogens will easily flow into existing watercourses and the groundwater supply where some residents draw their potable water.

Airborne pollution is a known and well documented issue with poultry units and can cause serious respiratory diseases and other health issues. Whilst the proposed IPU may indeed include fans and filters designed to high standards these will require be maintained by regular, costly maintenance to maintain these standards. As this whole proposal is clearly profit driven I remain extremely doubtful that the required investment in time and money will be forthcoming, especially as the applicant has ensured that the development is not near to, or upwind of their residence.

Living in relatively close proximity to the existing infrastructure of Cononsyth farms we are already adversely affected by noise pollution from the biomass sheds and the wind turbine. Adding noise from 32 extractor fans and 12 inlet fans will only exacerbate the noise pollution of our quiet rural area. The existing sheds at Cononsyth also put out considerable volumes of light pollution and again further development on this scale will only make matters worse, not only for the residents who enjoy the dark skies that we should enjoy in a rural area, but also for the nocturnal wildlife such as the various species of bats that live in the area.

Regular flooding is already an issue on the local roads and in some gardens in this area, adding the additional runoff from a large area of hardstanding will only add to these flooding issues. The existing pond at Cononsyth is already prone to algal blooms during the warmer months and has caused illnesses in local pets, adding further nutrient and bacteria rich runoff from the proposed development cannot be a good thing.

Although living in the countryside comes with an expectation of mice and rat issues these are usually small in number and easily managed. The addition of an extremely large and easily accessed food source in the local area will inevitably lead to an explosion in vermin numbers for local residents to deal with. As you are aware dealing with mouse and rat infestations is not only unpleasant, costly and potentially harmful to health, for humans as well as pets, but rats especially can cause a huge amount of damage to property. Not to mention the negative impact rats have on nesting birds at a time when our native songbirds are in decline with large numbers of species found locally already on the Birds of Conservation Concern Red List. Full list available on the RSPB website.

Our rural road network is already in a poor state of repair, increased movements of heavy vehicles will only further degrade the condition of the road network. The local roads are also frequented by large numbers of non-motorised users and increased trafficking will inevitably lead to accidents.

Living in a rural area we all value the access we have to the countryside; the token effort of including an informal path through an area which will be foul smelling and potentially hazardous to health will in no way compensate for the loss of amenity we will all suffer if this development is allowed.

In a time of climate emergency it is difficult to understand the climate benefit of removing prime agricultural land and constructing these high energy, polluting intensive farming units. These units have a limited lifespan, but the loss of prime agricultural land will be permanent. Add to this land loss the carbon footprint of the construction, operation, and maintenance of these units this cannot be conducive to fulfilling the aims of the Local Development Plan.

Thank you for noting my concerns and please acknowledge receipt of this email.

Kind regards,

Graeme McLean
Narvik, East Cotton of Gardyne
Arbroath
DD11 3RX

Sent from my iPad

Comments for Planning Application 21/00337/FULM

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00337/FULM

Address: Field 530M West Of North Mains Of Cononsyth Farm Cononsyth Arbroath

Proposal: Erection of two 32,000 capacity free-range hen sheds and associated infrastructure including feed silos, egg packing facility, vehicular access, access tracks, drainage and landscaping

Case Officer: Ruari Kelly

Customer Details

Name: Mr ian sutherland

Address: 39 druids park murthly ph1 4eh

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:I wish to object about this application.

It should be rejected in total.

Reasons are:-

It does not comply to the Local Plan (Page 9 of The Statement) which states :-

To "Protect the Health & Wellbeing of our people" and,

Protecting the environmental and cultural assets that contribute to the quality of life that is possible here. Hence, this proposal is contrary to the Local Plan and should be rejected.

In addition :-

It is now universally known that these type of factories are totally unnecessary, a nuisance, a danger to the population, the environment and cause untold harm and suffering to the poultry being reared in those "So called" free range conditions.

Surely, as a civilised society we are above endorsing and condoning such mass production units.

Today the emphasis is on the "Green Environment" and rightly so. There is nothing "Green" or Environmentally Friendly about this proposal.

There is a surplus of these units in Scotland and a number are presently on the market for sale.

We do not need any more.

One only has to read the Impact Assessment Report to realise this is not an impartial or independent assessment or appraisal of this proposed mass production unit. It has been commissioned and paid for by the applicant and as such cannot be relied upon to give independent or impartial opinions. For example the report gives opinions without being able to access certain required high topographical areas since they are not within the applicant's ownership.

In respect of noise, I would question statements such as " We would not expect a significant increase in the noise levels". This statement means nothing and gives the applicant a free hand to increase to maximum allowable levels. Although an allowable 55 Decibel level used to be allowed within a property, I certainly would not like to live under such conditions. The ventilation fans regardless of what this report is trying to portray are very noisy and sound does travel, especially at night.

Scottish Water state they cannot guarantee a constant water supply and therefore the applicant should investigate a private supply and storage. Water is an essential part of such breeding units and without a guarantee of ample water supply this application should be rejected.

To conclude the nuisance factors in respect of noise, contamination, air pollution, increased traffic, danger to health and the environment all must be sufficient reasons for this application to be rejected.

Lastly, I would ask all Councillors on the Planning Committee - "Would you like this proposal on your doorstep". If your answer is yes, then perhaps a circular to all your Constituents outlining your reasons why you decided to support this application.

Comments for Planning Application 21/00337/FULM

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00337/FULM

Address: Field 530M West Of North Mains Of Cononsyth Farm Cononsyth Arbroath

Proposal: Erection of two 32,000 capacity free-range hen sheds and associated infrastructure including feed silos, egg packing facility, vehicular access, access tracks, drainage and landscaping

Case Officer: Ruari Kelly

Customer Details

Name: Mr James Beckett

Address: 11 Meadowvale Norwich NR5 0NJ

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I object to this because it is proposing to build to build very close to residential properties

Comments for Planning Application 21/00337/FULM

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00337/FULM

Address: Field 530M West Of North Mains Of Cononsyth Farm Cononsyth Arbroath

Proposal: Erection of two 32,000 capacity free-range hen sheds and associated infrastructure including feed silos, egg packing facility, vehicular access, access tracks, drainage and landscaping

Case Officer: Ruari Kelly

Customer Details

Name: Mr John Beaver

Address: 1-2 the row Rathillet Cupar Ky154qg

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: bird numbers and capacity within fields; proximity to watercourse and impact from pollution; potential impact on fishing and ecology; there would be two sheds with over 60,000 birds; serious adverse impact on the amenity of local residents from the cumulative impact from the sheds and birds in the same place; impact on health and welfare of residents; and residents. To summarise this kind of production is unsustainable.

Comments for Planning Application 21/00337/FULM

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00337/FULM

Address: Field 530M West Of North Mains Of Cononsyth Farm Cononsyth Arbroath

Proposal: Erection of two 32,000 capacity free-range hen sheds and associated infrastructure including feed silos, egg packing facility, vehicular access, access tracks, drainage and landscaping

Case Officer: Ruari Kelly

Customer Details

Name: Mr John Bell

Address: East Mains of Dumbarrow Cottage Kirkden By Letham DD8 2SR

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I object

Cogeo Planning Statement ref 3.3.2 SEPA regulatory regime, states the need for PPC approval from SEPA, but also says this falls outwith planning permission, but continues "It is however noted that certain aspects of the environment assessed and controlled by SEPA through the permit process are of interest to the planning side. These factors include such topics as emissions, monitoring, waste". In the context of the application, waste will include hen manure, therefore making it subject to the The Waste (Scotland) Regulations 2012. In short, a full plan for the management of manure will be necessary, making the applicant legally responsible for all aspects of the handling, storage, treatment, transport and eventual use of the material, even when it leaves the applicant's land. This will include the development of risk assessments and method statements in conjunction with local authorities and other stakeholders wherever the manure is to be used. In short a 'manure plan' is required, which the applicant has not provided

ALDP Policy TC15 Employment Development. The applicant claims that this development will offer four jobs, but has consistently failed to confirm what job roles they will actually be, that they will be new and full time, and where the four workers will be drawn from, making this assertion baseless, and (probably) of no immediate employment benefit to job seekers living nearby. Another unfounded assertion from the applicant, is that the land selected for development has low yield, when compared to other land on the Cononsyth estate. No evidence of this has been presented to support this, and such evidence would need to be in the form of data from several years in order for it to be significant. Also, when making this assertion, the applicant has referred solely to mean the area where the sheds are planned to be, making no comment at all about the loss of a further 80 acres of prime agricultural land to the area where the hens will free range

Ruari Kelly

From: John Skilton [REDACTED]
Sent: 03 June 2021 19:56
To: Ruari Kelly
Subject: Planning application ref 21/00337/ FULM

For the attention of Ruari Kelly case officer Angus Council

To whom it may concern

I wish to object to the proposed erection of two intensive chicken sheds at field 530m west of north mains of Cononsyth farm Cononsyth on the following grounds as listed below:

- 1/ The proposed poultry factory farm being sited on a greenfield site at Cononsyth farm.
- 2/ The proposed factory farm being sited adjacent to the U467 which is a single lane public road.
- 3/ This proposal will bring a large increase of heavyweight traffic on to this very narrow single file road, ie very large lorries as well as excessive ancillary traffic to service the proposed factory farm and ancillary buildings.
- 4/ the noxious smells, toxins and pollution from the proposed sheds drifting down wind to us and others plus the polluting noise of the high speed extraction fans on 24/7.
- 5/ The pollution to the water table that would in turn pollute the many wells in the area (one of which is in our garden at Queenswood)
- 6/ The further disastrous effect to the local wildlife ie pipistrelle and Nathusius bats, Red squirrels, foxes, badgers, amphibians and insects etc.
- 7/ Excess increase of water run off from the roof and concrete base of the proposed sheds which will result in more flooding by the excess rainfall from the climate changing storms we are now experiencing

To summarise

I choose to live in this beautiful tranquil country area of Angus, and I am very worried it's being turned into a intensive industrial site.

We have already lost in this area a large ancient wood, plus a large section of historical dry stone wall all to facilitate access to this proposed intensive poultry farm.

Thank you

I would be grateful if you could acknowledge receipt of this objection/ email Yours sincerely John Skilton Queenswood Cottage DD8 2SR [REDACTED]

Comments for Planning Application 21/00337/FULM

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00337/FULM

Address: Field 530M West Of North Mains Of Cononsyth Farm Cononsyth Arbroath

Proposal: Erection of two 32,000 capacity free-range hen sheds and associated infrastructure including feed silos, egg packing facility, vehicular access, access tracks, drainage and landscaping

Case Officer: Ruari Kelly

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Kirstin Anderson

Address: 45 Bedale Road Nottingham NG5 3GL

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: This farm will ruin an area that is adjacent to homes and will have a negative impact on the biodiversity of the area and be building on a green field site. It is detrimental to the environment, as it will cause many problems including run off to natural water courses, smell, and the accumulated problems of ammonia build up.

There will be increased traffic, noise and pollution

No no no

Comments for Planning Application 21/00337/FULM

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00337/FULM

Address: Field 530M West Of North Mains Of Cononsyth Farm Cononsyth Arbroath

Proposal: Erection of two 32,000 capacity free-range hen sheds and associated infrastructure including feed silos, egg packing facility, vehicular access, access tracks, drainage and landscaping

Case Officer: Ruari Kelly

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Lorraine McLean

Address: Narvik East Cotton of Gardyne Gardyne by Arbroath DD11 3RX

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I am all for local businesses expanding and creating jobs, and locally produced items/food. However I'm afraid I have to object to this application due to the following:

- Noise pollution - noise pollution already exists from the wind turbine and the wood processing sheds (at all hours of the day).
- Air quality - couple this with the other planning application submitted by Cononsyth Farms for installation of the biomass boiler (21/0024/FULL). While the air quality within the sheds will be controlled the air quality outside will not be. The ammonia and dust particles will be airborne and causing pollution to the natural setting we live in.
- Over industrialisation and over-development on our rural area - we really like the peace and quiet of the area, we'd rather not listen to industrial noise (although we are deemed to be outside of the neighbour notification zone we do hear the farm at our house) (not to mention the negative impact this will have on house prices in the area)
- Traffic impact - road network in this whole area is unsuitable for the volume of traffic and size of vehicles I believe the sheds will bring. It will also have a negative impact on neighbours being able to enjoy our rural area.
- Smell - while we appreciate we live in the countryside and realise there will be farmyard smells, chicken manure smells disgusting. If we can hear the farm from house I'm sure we will be able to smell it.

Comments for Planning Application 21/00337/FULM

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00337/FULM

Address: Field 530M West Of North Mains Of Cononsyth Farm Cononsyth Arbroath

Proposal: Erection of two 32,000 capacity free-range hen sheds and associated infrastructure including feed silos, egg packing facility, vehicular access, access tracks, drainage and landscaping

Case Officer: Ruari Kelly

Customer Details

Name: Mr Neal Clarke

Address: 11 The Oaks Colton NR9 5DB

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I believe that this sort of structure should not be built so close to residential properties, having spent time living near chicken farms in rural Norfolk where I live, I can say the it is not the most pleasant experience I have had.

The smell and air pollution from the chickens, the traffic coming and going and not to mention in a world that is trying to be more carbon neutral the amount of pollution from the carbon dioxide output of the proposed development would be harmful to the surrounding residential properties where it is proposed to being built.

Comments for Planning Application 21/00337/FULM

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00337/FULM

Address: Field 530M West Of North Mains Of Cononsyth Farm Cononsyth Arbroath

Proposal: Erection of two 32,000 capacity free-range hen sheds and associated infrastructure including feed silos, egg packing facility, vehicular access, access tracks, drainage and landscaping

Case Officer: Ruari Kelly

Customer Details

Name: Mr Neil McIntee

Address: 2/2 23 Benvie Rd Dundee DD2 2PD

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: FThere should not be chicken batteries of any scale build now!!! They are cruel and horrific things!!!

Comments for Planning Application 21/00337/FULM

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00337/FULM

Address: Field 530M West Of North Mains Of Cononsyth Farm Cononsyth Arbroath

Proposal: Erection of two 32,000 capacity free-range hen sheds and associated infrastructure including feed silos, egg packing facility, vehicular access, access tracks, drainage and landscaping

Case Officer: Ruari Kelly

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Nikki Robertson

Address: 8 hillend road Arbroath Dd11 2ar

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I object to this due environmental pollution, biodiversity and of course animal welfare. We have to protect our green land and make decisions that are going to benefit future generations. The thought of this is abhorrent

Comments for Planning Application 21/00337/FULM

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00337/FULM

Address: Field 530M West Of North Mains Of Cononsyth Farm Cononsyth Arbroath

Proposal: Erection of two 32,000 capacity free-range hen sheds and associated infrastructure including feed silos, egg packing facility, vehicular access, access tracks, drainage and landscaping

Case Officer: Ruari Kelly

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Norma Service

Address: Druidswell, Kinclaven Road Murthly Perth PH1 4EY

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The proposed development completely contradicts the "Local Plan". It's too near residential properties and risks the health and well-being (mentally and physically) of all who live and breathe nearby. The water courses and natural environment will be harmed due to increased contamination, air pollution, noise pollution and increased traffic.

It's a national embarrassment that these poultry companies are being allowed to set up these torture chambers in our natural habitat for the sake of pure money making greed. The meat produced is of so low quality - a true reflection of the horror these poor birds go through in their short, sun deprived life. In such a pivotal time, in our earth's history, we need to be more conscientious over saving our environment, not adding to the burden. In my opinion, it's an utter abomination that this proposal is even being considered.

Comments for Planning Application 21/00337/FULM

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00337/FULM

Address: Field 530M West Of North Mains Of Cononsyth Farm Cononsyth Arbroath

Proposal: Erection of two 32,000 capacity free-range hen sheds and associated infrastructure including feed silos, egg packing facility, vehicular access, access tracks, drainage and landscaping

Case Officer: Ruari Kelly

Customer Details

Name: Ms Pauline Eddings

Address: The Cottage East Mains of Dumbarrow Forfar DD8 2SR

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:I object

ALDP PV7 Woodland trees and Hedges, Angus Council promises to 'protect and retain woodland, trees and hedges to avoid fragmentation of existing provision' The applicant has already destroyed seven acres of ancient woodland prior to the development, removing the habitat of populations of red squirrels, badgers, rooks, and bats. Also destroyed is ca. 50 metres of dry stone wall, with more being lost if passing places are built on the U467. These walls form important habitat for grass snakes, birds, and invertebrates, lichens, mosses and ferns. The Environmental Impact Assessment does not include the margins and walls of the U467

ALDP Policy DS1 SEA Implications Angus Council supports, "new development in locations that are well related to the existing form and pattern of development and therefore the existing transport network" The development doesn't conform to the existing form and pattern of development, and requires significant changes to the existing network, ie the access road to the site. Despite the U467 being unclassified, and of a basic standard, it has served the community very well over the last 50 years

Tayplan SDP and ALDP, Directing the right development to the right place' specifies that in rural areas developments should be "of a scale and nature appropriate to the intended location". The proposed buildings are unlike any nearby, both in terms of size and appearance, and will utterly dominate the area, as they will be regarded as a stand alone site

ALDP Creating high quality places specifies "Wherever development is proposed, it is important to ensure that all opportunities are taken to reuse or redevelop brownfield land before developing greenfield sites" The proposal is to commit around 90 acres of prime arable land to irreversible change. There are many brownfield sites in Angus, and there is nothing in the application stating how the entire development will be reinstated to prime agricultural land should the business cease

Comments for Planning Application 21/00337/FULM

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00337/FULM

Address: Field 530M West Of North Mains Of Cononsyth Farm Cononsyth Arbroath

Proposal: Erection of two 32,000 capacity free-range hen sheds and associated infrastructure including feed silos, egg packing facility, vehicular access, access tracks, drainage and landscaping

Case Officer: Ruari Kelly

Customer Details

Name: Mr Stuart Cairns

Address: 6 McDougall Court Druid's Park Murthly PH1 4DD

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Scotland needs no more poultry farms. We are in a state of over-supply and some are even for sale. Considering the pandemic we are suffering, why are the council even considering increasing the risks of avian flus in our country? You only have to consider 7-sisters at Cuper Angus to see the harm. Scottish Water cannot even guarantee a water supply so this has to put the brakes on this development. Poultry farms emit horrendous smells and toxic, airbourne chemicals. Nobody wants that. If the council think it's ok why not build in in their neighbourhood. You can smell the Broxburn Aviagen farm from 10miles away. Do not allow this disaster to take place.

Comments for Planning Application 21/00337/FULM

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00337/FULM

Address: Field 530M West Of North Mains Of Cononsyth Farm Cononsyth Arbroath

Proposal: Erection of two 32,000 capacity free-range hen sheds and associated infrastructure including feed silos, egg packing facility, vehicular access, access tracks, drainage and landscaping

Case Officer: Ruari Kelly

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Wendy Murray

Address: Rockville Easthaven Carnoustie DD7 6LQ

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: This massive industrial development on prime agricultural land will decimate the heart of the beautiful Angus countryside and cause irrevocable harm to biodiversity which is declining. Angus has not met its biodiversity targets in recent years nor its targets to reduce carbon emissions. In the first year alone it is anticipated that the construction and operating of the industrial site will generate 2628 tonnes of CO₂. One has to ask who is this development really benefiting? As I understand it, the farmer systematically removed a huge swathe of woodland in preparation for the tree survey. This act of vandalism destroyed many habitats for important species such as red squirrels and badgers. The people of Angus do not want massive industrial units housing thousands of birds. This is not America and Angus does not want to be associated with the poor welfare of birds. How this kind of practice can be defined as 'organic' is beyond comprehension and does nothing to reassure the public about the quality of food we are eating. Angus should be associated with good quality local food production along with low carbon emissions and a concerted effort to improve and not destroy biodiversity.

Comments for Planning Application 21/00337/FULM

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00337/FULM

Address: Field 530M West Of North Mains Of Cononsyth Farm Cononsyth Arbroath

Proposal: Erection of two 32,000 capacity free-range hen sheds and associated infrastructure including feed silos, egg packing facility, vehicular access, access tracks, drainage and landscaping

Case Officer: Ruari Kelly

Customer Details

Name: Miss Zephyr Liddell

Address: Mid Park of Gardyne forfar dd82sr

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I object to the Erection of two 32,000 capacity free-range hen sheds and associated infrastructure including feed silos, egg packing facility, vehicular access, access tracks, drainage and landscaping.

As this plans will lead to negative effects on;

Biodiversity and will add to environmental pollution, culminating in increased Climate change.

The waste and runoff will lead to a risk to human and lead to poor animal health and poor animal welfare.

Disregards those living in the area by not effectively consulting this local community.

Proposal take no account of the accumulative effect of Micro-particulates and Ammonia, Flooding or waste water run-off.

Increased traffic hazard and damage to the wonderful old stone walls.

this factory Noise Odour

Light pollution

Sets a precedent for more IPU developments in other parts of Angus.

Building on a greenfield site, on productive farmland.

No alternative proposals have been put forward .

Lack of public debate and information.

The development is completely at odds with other good work Cononsyth Farms has done.