

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: Murray Agnew

Customer Details

Name: Ann Banks

Address: 1 Bruce Drive Carnoustie DD7 7DE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Councillor

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Enough is enough. We cannot get doctor or dentist appointments without all these proposed new houses.

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: Murray Agnew

Customer Details

Name: Carol Venables

Address: 1a Knowes Loan Barry CARNOUSTIE DD7 7RF

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Barry is a small village, we do not want or need more houses, there's a big estate going up across from this and the infrastructure is not there schools are full doctors surgery cannot cope with the patients it has already but planners don't care what locals think

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: Murray Agnew

Customer Details

Name: Dr David Thompson

Address: Mains of Ravensby Barry DD7 7RJ

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Miscellaneous

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I strongly object to the proposed housing application for the following reasons.

1. No further need for housing in the Carnoustie/Barry area, especially after the new few hundred homes at Pitskelly farm.

2. Loss of green space/trees which is a natural habitat for wildlife.

3. Access to the site would be dangerous as already "Greenlaw Brae" is a very narrow and tricky road should you meet on coming traffic, which would be greatly increased with the number of houses proposed.

4. Health Centre & schools will not cope with yet more housing, already almost impossible to get a doctors appointment, which again will become much worse when Greenlaw Park is complete.

5. Barry used to be a standalone village, it is now purely becoming joint onto Carnoustie & I feel it should remain a standalone village.

Planning officials please use some common sense & refuse this application.

Regards,

Dr D. Thompson.

Murray Agnew (Case Officer)
Team Leader (Development Standards Planning)
Place Directorate
Angus Council
Angus House
Orchard Business Park
Forfar
DD8 1AN



17th August 2021

Dear Murray,

21/00557/FULL | Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure | Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

21/00558/FULL | Erection of 28 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure | Field 70M North West Of Greenlaw Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Introduction and Executive Summary

We have assessed the above applications and submit comments on behalf of Kirkwood Homes Limited to both as follows. Please note that this submission is a formal objection to both applications and therefore should be acknowledged for each as such.

Together, both application areas at 3.2 ha amount to the total land included within Angus Local Development Plan (LDP) allocation “C4 Opportunity Site – Greenlaw Hill”.

The C4 allocation is identified as one site within the LDP and lists appropriate requirements should an application for planning permission be forthcoming. These include the need to reflect the rural setting, access arrangements and a landscaping scheme to provide an appropriate town edge and enhancement and linkages to the green network.

Rather than submitting a comprehensive single planning application, which seeks to address the above requirements, the applicants have chosen, without providing any appropriate contextual justification, to split the site into 2 separate applications. The only reason for doing this appears to be to circumvent a proper pre-application consultation process that would be required as a single application would be in excess of 2 hectares and 50 houses, be classed as a major application and require appropriate pre-application consultation.



With the background of allocation and site sensitivity, the Council would no doubt prefer to see a comprehensive application for the entire LDP allocation to be assured of appropriate pre-application consultation with the community, comprehensive design and assurance of delivery. It remains odd therefore that all the submitted documents, including the Design Statement and the Transport Statement analyse the site as one entity, however the site has been split into two separate applications. There is no logical reason from a planning policy / analysis, design or technical point of view to split the site into two applications and the only reason must be to circumvent the proper consultation process.

Background / Planning History

A previous application for residential planning permission, 16/00075/FUL, was refused by Angus Council on 2nd June 2021 as “*The development is contrary to Policy S3 of the Angus Local Plan Review (2009) because the proposed density and design of the development would not fit in with the local landscape character and pattern of development*”. The application was for 46 houses on the western part of the LDP C4 opportunity site. The appeal was also subsequently dismissed, the reasons for which are highlighted below.

Within this context, again the Council would no doubt wish to see a comprehensive application for the entire LDP allocation to be assured of comprehensive design / delivery. Indeed, all the submitted documents, which support both applications are exactly the same and cover both sites including the Design Statement and the Transport Statement which clearly analyse the site as one entity. There is therefore no logical reason from a planning policy / analysis, design or technical point of view to split the site into 2 applications. The only reason can be to circumvent the aforementioned proper consultation process and seek to fast track the application to determination.

LDP ‘C4’ Allocation Requirements

“Development should reflect the rural setting and open nature of the site, and its prominence at the entrance to Carnoustie on the Upper Victoria Link Road”.

Comment: As would be expected, when dismissing the previous appeal (PPA-120-2042), the Reporter flagged up this LDP requirement; the Reporter commenting that on this site, standard house types would pay little respect to the setting of the village and would not respect the open rural character of the area. In addition, the Reporter noting that little effort had been made to produce a landscaping scheme appropriate to a town edge which was required by the LDP C4 opportunity site briefing, also involving enhancement to and linkages with the green network. The Report therefore finding that a standard mainstream developer layout, density and house type approach to the site was not appropriate. In this regard it should be noted, as was highlighted by the Reporter, that this site is not in Carnoustie, where one might expect a more mainstream approach to housing layouts, it is in Barry where the traditional house type and lower density of the village should be respected, as should the landscape setting of this prominent site. Despite the submission of a Design Statement, the



proposals now submitted do little to address these issues and are contrary to LDP policy DS3.

“Vehicular access arrangements will be from the Upper Victoria Link Road”.

Comment: The previous Reporter highlighted the difficulties with the access which would likely result in the loss of verge and hedgerow on the north side, which has to be retained in the interests of safeguarding the rural character of the area and the existing wildlife habitats. Albeit these new proposals seek to retain the hedge, that is less than sure from the submitted information, a point rightly flagged up by the first objector to the applications (Mr Hugh McKenzie).

A landscaping scheme providing an appropriate town edge will be required including consideration of the enhancement and linkages to the green network.

Comment: As above, the proposals do little, if anything at all, to address the issue of landscape impact. Whilst the hedgerow to the north of the site is proposed for retention, and the ownership / control of this should of course be proven, the simple fact is that the developer is seeking to maximise the development footprint and is not proposing to provide an appropriate landscape setting as this would result in significant ‘on site’ landscaping along the sites northern boundary. A preferable approach would be to pull the building line back from this boundary and provide an appropriate physical and visual buffer in accordance with the LDP requirement.

Information Requirements

The overall Masterplan purports to *“bring forward the concept diagram as a formal layout and helps to illustrate how the plots will be delivered...”*. With all due respect, it does not do this in sufficient detail and there are no actual detailed development layouts submitted with the applications that can be scaled for accuracy and a proper interpretation of the proposals.

There is no Drainage Assessment submitted with the applications. Noting the background associated with drainage capacities and issues in Barry and the west side of Carnoustie, Drainage Impact Assessments should be required to be submitted and suitably assessed.

There is no Landscape Assessment submitted with the applications. Noting the above LDP requirement for *“a landscaping scheme providing an appropriate town edge”* such assessments should be submitted and suitably assessed. Notwithstanding, one might therefore expect that the landscape setting had been assessed within the Design Statement; however the aerial views from the north merely seek to demonstrate the lack of consideration and appropriate treatment of this northern approach into the town, i.e. as required by the LDP.

There is no Ecological Assessment submitted with the applications. Noting the location and land use characteristics of the site and its boundaries and for consistency of approach, such an assessment must be a requirement.



There is no Archaeological Assessment submitted with the applications. Noting the clear archaeological history in the area and for consistency of approach, such an assessment must be a requirement.

There is no Energy Statement submitted with the applications and for consistency of approach this should be a requirement.

In comparison, **Application 21/00523/FULM Panbride Road, Carnoustie**, i.e. also a current full application for residential development in the Carnoustie / Barry South Angus Housing Market Area; the list of documents requested by the Case Officer and agreed as appropriate for full consideration of such an application for planning permission was as follows:

- Location Plan (1:1250)
- Topographical Survey and Existing Features (1:500)
- Site Layout Plan (1:500)
- Additional site layouts (Overall phasing, specific phasing plans etc)
- Waste Management Plans (1:500)
- Green Area Plans and Pedestrian Core Paths
- Development Sectional Key Plan / Sectional Elevations
- Development Material Elevations
- House Type Elevations (1:50 & 1:100)
- Boundary Treatment Plans
- Boundary Treatment Details
- (1:500 for main plan and then 2no 1:200/250 for detailed layouts)
- Site Image / Axonometric
- Car Parking Provision & Cycle Storage
- Private Garden Ground Schedule
- Energy Statement
- House Type SAP's
- Car Parking Schedule
- Landscaping Layout
- Existing landscape feature to be retained / removed
- Schedule of open space and amenity spaces
- Archaeological Assessment
- Tree Survey
- Ecological Assessment
- Supporting Planning Statement
- PAC Report
- Design and Access Statement
- Indicative levels
- Roads Layout (kerbing, swept paths analysis for refuse vehicle / HGV, visibility splays, levels, details, adoption plan)
- Sewer Layout
- Transport Assessment / Statement
- Drainage Assessment / Strategy
- RSA

As referenced above, many of these documents are missing from both applications which, whilst sufficient for registration, are therefore deficient in the information



required to make a proper assessment of the proposals. The further assessments should therefore be provided in advance of any determination of the applications.

Whilst it is at the discretion of the Council to request appropriate supporting information, consistency of approach for similar applications is crucial to allow for confidence in the system and fair treatment of applications. However, we appreciate that these requests for further information may well be in hand and further supporting information may be added to the portal. If further information is added, that information should be advertised with a further 3 week consultation period for third party representations as appropriate.

Technical Matters

Drainage Infrastructure

Although the layouts for each phase show a hatched area for an indicative SuDS basin location, supporting conceptual drainage plans with a SuDS basin design have not been submitted with either application. If SuDS basins are to be proposed, these would of course also require surface water outfall routes. Neither application however contains a surface water outfall route within the proposals and the submitted topographical survey drawing (4146/SD/01) does not make reference to any watercourses on site. It should be questioned if any outfall route would therefore be within the submitted redline boundary.

Sewers for Scotland 4 also refers to the need to provide a surface water design that replicates as closely as possible the natural, i.e. undeveloped, run-off pattern from the site. There is of course a need to consider surface water disposal at an early stage in the development layout, with sufficient space for appropriate SuDS being provided when the layout is developed. There may of course be alternative measures proposed, however in accordance with Scottish Water Surface Water Policy where surface water disposal options are to be considered in order of preference; in each case justification must be provided to show why an option has been dismissed before the next option is considered.

Scottish Water stick rigidly to the guidance in the Surface Water Policy and Sewers for Scotland 4 and their stance has been backed by their legal team. It is therefore respectfully questioned whether these applications can be delivered technically and inline with Scottish Water Policy.

Proposed Levels

We note that a Topographical Survey and Street Elevations have been submitted with each of the applications. However, there are no proposed plot levels within either application pack and without designed plot levels, the proposed Street Elevations cannot of course be accurately representative. In the case of the submitted Street Elevations, they are presented with no level change across the elevation. For instance, the Indicative Street Elevation 2 within drawing 'Typical Street Elevations Phase 2' is shown as having no level change but the Topographical Survey indicates a 4-meter level change in this location running north to south. Without these design



levels, the proposals as presented in the applications do not therefore correctly represent the final development and must be disregarded.

Summary

- The phase 1 application (1.8015 ha) is for 29 houses, including 8 affordable (27.5%). The phase 2 application (1.4977 ha) is for 28 houses including 6 affordable (21.4%). Total 27 units including 14 affordable (24.5%). If phase 2 were therefore to be developed first or in isolation then there would be a significant shortfall in the delivery of the overall affordable housing requirement. This is just another example as to why there should be one overall application covering the entire planning unit.
- Each application appears to purposely have less than 50 units and less than 2ha in size.
- Both applications combined equate to 3.2992 ha (1.8015 ha and 1.4977 ha) and comprise 57 residential units. Applications for residential purposes involving the construction of 50 or more houses, or where the site exceeds 2 hectares are classed as 'Major' (Circular 5/2009). Therefore if submitted as one application the submission would then be classed as a major application.
- Major developments require pre-application consultation with communities and information on that is provided in Circular 3/2013. The Circular confirms that a prospective applicant must provide the planning authority with a 'Proposal of Application Notice' at least 12 weeks prior to the submission of an application for a major development and carry out appropriate pre-application public consultation with the community, including a formal consultation event. The purpose of splitting the application was solely to avoid the 12-week PoAN process and the scrutiny of the required community consultation. The community consultation of course leading to more community awareness of the proposals and more likelihood that there would be community interest and objection at the application stage which may well lead to the application having to be determined by Committee. With less community awareness, there may well be insufficient objection or no Councillor intervention and the application could be dealt with by way of a delegated decision.
- Both sites combined also equate to Angus LDP opportunity site C4 Greenlaw Hill (3.2 ha). The expectation would therefore be that the allocated site would be assessed in detail through a comprehensive application for planning permission; the LDP requiring that due to its "*prominence at the entrance to Carnoustie, a landscaping scheme providing an appropriate town edge will be required including consideration of the enhancement and linkages to the green network*".
- There is no tangible reason why the LDP opportunity site has been split into 2 applications. Both applications are within the allocated site; both share the same access; both share the same 'design concept'; and both require the same landscaping scheme. Both applications include the same Masterplan; both applications include the same Design Statement; both applications include the same Transport Statement. Indeed, the Transport Statement confirms that all discussions with Angus Councils Roads Officers have been on the basis of one site with 57 units on it, not two sites and not two separate terms of reference for the sites being split into two phases. As way of further certainty of this approach, in its conclusion, the Transport Statement references "*the proposed development of 57 housing units*".



- Pre-application notification is a statutory requirement for developments that are categorised as a major. The clear aim of the process is to improve the planning system by strengthening the involvement of communities at an early stage to better reflect local views on proposed developments of this scale. The splitting of the LDP into 2 smaller applications is a clear attempt by the applicants to purposefully circumvent this process and not allow for full community consultation on the proposals. In effect, the applicants have put the Council and the community in a difficult position as they are seeking to fast track the applications to early determination without appropriate consultation as should be required for an allocated site of this scale which has specific LDP requirements.
- The above listed technical information requirements require clarification before any further consideration of the applications.

We would be grateful if you could acknowledge timeous receipt of these objections to both applications.

Many thanks.

Yours sincerely

[Redacted signature]

Ewan A Maclean MRTPI

[Redacted contact information]

[Redacted footer information]

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: Murray Agnew

Customer Details

Name: Ian Noble

Address: 9 Westfield place Carnoustie Dd7 7ld

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Councillor

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Strongly object to this proposal, I fear for the wildlife and fauna at the proposed site and the environs surrounding it. On my walks over the years I've witnessed deer, foxes, badgers, bird species to many to mention, wildflowers that I can't identify. Has an impact study been carried out? Carnoustie at the moment is being inundated with housing and I fear anymore would be detrimental to current residents, health centre is currently struggling, schools full to bursting, honestly more housing is criminal.

J L Fairfoot
38 Ramsay Street
Monifieth
DD5 4AQ

Planning Department

Angus Council

Forfar



15.08.21

Dear Mr Agnew,

21/00557/FULL & 21/00558/FULL: Both at Greenlaw Terrace, Barry, Carnoustie

I am writing to register my objection to the above applications. This letter should therefore be recorded as objections to both applications.

As someone who is very aware of the environment, I've read about how developers have to be more responsible and build houses to more environmentally acceptable standards. Yet, there is no statement as to the energy efficiency of the proposed houses and whether they will be of passive house construction. On the same theme, you would expect an open greenfield site such as this to at least have an ecological assessment submitted with it. It is disappointing that a local developer ignores these issues.

The applications should simply be refused.

Yours sincerely,



06 09 21
J DRUMMOND
ROSECRAIG
BANKFOOT
PERTHSHIRE
PH1 4EE

[REDACTED]
Development Standards
Angus Council
Orchard Business Park
Forfar
Angus DD8 1AN

31st of August 2021

[REDACTED]
Dear Sir,

21/00557/FULL: Site at Greenlawhill Farm, Greenlaw Terrace, Barry

21/00558/FULL: Field 70m North West of Greenlaw, Greenlaw Terrace, Barry

I wish to register my objection to the above planning applications.

Both proposals lack any 'green' credentials. The hedge to the north of the site provides a barrier when the field crops are being sprayed and it stops any over-spray spreading to houses. It is not sufficient to allow the developer to decide which trees are felled and which are kept. The first application included a tree survey and this should be looked at again and the mature trees should be retained. On the south side of the development there is a steep slope leading down to houses at Corbie Drive. This slope has natural springs and currently the trees help soak up the water, however if they are removed, the Corbie Drive residents will see more water going down into their area, with potential of flooding during heavy rain.

Both of these applications should be refused.

Yours faithfully

[REDACTED]
J Drummond

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: James Wright

Customer Details

Name: Miss Aimee Venables

Address: 88 macdonald smith drive Carnoustie Dd7 7tb

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Ridiculous that you want to take more green land and build houses. Carnoustie is supposed to be a quaint village not an extension of arbroath or Carnoustie. Despite what one of the Ridiculous councillors says the med centre cant cope with the people that live here already. Stop trying to make Carnoustie a city or improve the services for the people that already live here first. Half the shops are shutting or are expensive spars coops etc. No petrol station etc. Work on these before allowing more houses to be built on the lovely places to walk in Carnoustie

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: James Wright

Customer Details

Name: Miss Emma Allan

Address: 109 Ravensby Road Carnoustie DD7 7NJ

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Carnoustie doesn't need any more houses or destruction of our valuable green spaces.

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: Murray Agnew

Customer Details

Name: Miss Jenna Melville

Address: Beechwood Main Street Barry Dd7 7rp

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I think its absolutely disgusting at the proposal of 29 houses. The impact on the local environment will be horrific birds of prey, badgers, deer, otters to name a few. The detrimental impact on the already stretched resources of Carnoustie, the schools and the doctors surgery cannot cope with more pupils and residents. Soon there will be nothing that separates Monifieth, Carnoustie and Arbroath. Just rows of houses and no countryside.

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: Murray Agnew

Customer Details

Name: Miss Laraine Taylor

Address: 90 Craigmill Gardens Carnoustie Dd76hu

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:A lovely rural area which feels precious . Nice walks and wildlife and still a little bit of countryside. No need for this housing here with 300 already being built and I have no doubt that there are going to be at least that amount on the other fields opposite . Leave Barry village alone . The little road Greenlaw is already being used as a race track for people impatient at the traffic lights and this will become a quick through road to the carnoustie to monifieth route . Fully object to this inessential housing development

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: James Wright

Customer Details

Name: Miss Morgan Miller

Address: 4 greenlaw terrace Barry Carnoustie Dd7 7rn

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:I'm not happy AT ALL with these houses getting built beside me - this is a quiet neighbourhood and there's not ENOUGH facilities for all these people, what are you actually thinking?! It's hard enough getting through to doctors/schools as it is, nevermind more people/houses! I think the whole of carnoustie is annoyed with more houses getting built, try building more facilities before you invite more people into Carnoustie!!

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: Murray Agnew

Customer Details

Name: Mr Alistair Todd

Address: San Melito Coach House Carlogie CARNOUSTIE DD7 6LD

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: This proposed development is at the wrong side of town. Persimmon and DJ Laing already have interests in the Upper Pitskelly site, which is currently under construction, and therefore they will not build this site in competition with themselves and any permission would be ineffective. Furthermore, the application site does not have good footpath connections to Carnoustie nor direct access to a bus route and is therefore not sustainable.

More housing should be allowed at the east side of Carnoustie where there are good connections to all the town's facilities including schools and access to public transport - that would also allow for range and choice of housing in Carnoustie.

Barry itself of course has no amenities.

This application should be refused not least due to lack of connectivity.

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: Murray Agnew

Customer Details

Name: Mr Boyd Mutch

Address: 26 Thompson Avenue Carnoustie Dd7 7lp

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Doctor surgery overwhelmed, no petrol station, school oversubscribed,

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: Murray Agnew

Customer Details

Name: Mr Garrick Hirst

Address: Barry House Main Street Carnoustie DD7 7RP

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Noted the significance of no vehicle through route to the development from existing track to west of development (SUDS access) to minimize safety impact for pedestrians using existing road leading to Barry village.

Noted that mature trees and hedges to be retained within development site and immediately adjacent, including hedge to north of development.

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: Murray Agnew

Customer Details

Name: Mr Greig Marshall

Address: 16 Knapdale Place Dundee DD4 0SL

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I am writing to register my objection to the above applications and this letter should therefore be recorded as objections to both applications.

As a Local Development Plan opportunity site which requires comprehensive planning, there should be one application for the entire site with appropriate pre-application consultation with the community. This approach does nothing to help the local community have confidence in the planning system.

By splitting the site into two applications, the applicants have merely sought to prevent full and transparent consultation with the community. The applicants should be instructed to withdraw the applications and submit a comprehensive proposal with an associated public event so we can all better understand the full proposals.

Until that happens, these applications should not be supported.

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: Murray Agnew

Customer Details

Name: Mr Hugh McKenzie

Address: 1 Hillview Barry Carnoustie DD7 7RU

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer made comments neither objecting to or supporting the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The developers drawing shows that the hedgerow on the north side of the development is retained however developers have a habit of ignoring drawings then pleading a mistake was made after they have pulled it out the council and planning must ensure this hedgerow is kept in-place all along the road down to Hillview as that hedge ensures that during heavy rainfall the hedge keeps the soil in the field as the field elevation is 1.5 m above road elevation at the Hillview end the hedgerow also provides nesting for several bird species. The hedge also provides a barrier when the crops are being sprayed and stops spray spreading over to houses. It is not sufficient to allow the developer to decide which trees are felled and which are kept the first application did a tree survey and this should be looked at by planning and developer and the mature trees should be kept. On the south side of the development there is a steep slope leading down to houses at Corbie Drive this slope also has natural springs and currently the trees help soaking up the water however if the trees in that area are removed the Corbie Drive residents shall see more water going down into there area with potential to flood them during heavy rain. How can the developer suggest that the number of children will be 6/7 primary children and 6 secondary children this is a clear deliberate misuse of data to reduce financial costs for schools and healthcare in th area why build 29 house with 101 bedrooms for 71 people the more likely children number will be 49 of different ages and that would require funding for secondary school capacity. How can the application suggest safe pedestrian routes on a road leading down to Barry with pedestrian footpath also phase 2 shows footpath on the south side going to Upper Victoria road this is very dangerous as that side of the road has no footpath and no drawing shows any being provided it is also on a bend this shows very little thought went into this.

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: James Wright

Customer Details

Name: Mr Iain Melville

Address: 45 Westfield place Carnoustie Dd77LD

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:Where would children go to school., and everyone receive medical care

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: James Wright

Customer Details

Name: Mr j bowen

Address: 107 Ravensby Road Carnoustie DD7 7NJ

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Another development which appears to have lack of off street and on street parking bays within this and also 21/00558/FULL development. Too many houses.

How can these developments only say 6 + 6 children for schools? each house on average could have 2 children! 114 children.

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: James Wright

Customer Details

Name: Mr Jim Wallace

Address: Greenlaw Barry Carnoustie

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer made comments neither objecting to or supporting the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I have recently been told by the developer that the plans are being changed due to technical issues. Does this mean that planning permission is delayed until the final plans are submitted?

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: James Wright

Customer Details

Name: Mr Mike Hall

Address: Pentland Cottage, Main Street, Barry Carnoustie DD7 7RP

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Yet another scheme to build too many houses on a small plot of land. There's simply no requirement for these houses here considering the huge development being built over the road from this site. It would also be further erosion of the separation that Barry has from Carnoustie which keeps Barry as a pleasant and quiet village.

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: Murray Agnew

Customer Details

Name: Mr R Deer

Address: Millennium forest Carnoustie Dd7

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Miscellaneous

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I object to these developments. 57 houses in all.

I have lived in the woods at greenlawhill with my family and other creatures of the forest for a long time and if you were to develop this area it would ruin our habitat. It might also cause us to move elsewhere to an already populated area, meaning there would not be enough food for us and we may starve to death.

If you cut down some trees and build houses, most likely the families that move in will have cars, and not necessarily electric ones so the trees won't there to absorb the extra fumes, therefore damaging the environment.

Also there are natural springs all the way along there, with water ingress in some of the gardens, one of which is awaiting the council for the past year to decide who and how to fix the problem. Obviously someone will be making a lot of money from this development, but no one seems to care about the environment and the creatures who share the landscape there.

There is an extremely healthy population of hedgehogs. There are foxes, pheasants, owls, squirrels, magpies, a rookery, buzzards and not to mention all the bees, dragonflies and smaller creatures that live there keeping the eco system alive

THIS IS OUR HOME If you disrupt all that a lot of us will die.

Signed on behalf of the residents of greenlawhill forest.

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: James Wright

Customer Details

Name: Mr R Deer

Address: Greenlawhill Carnoustie

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Am I understanding this correctly?. Bats have been found at Greenlaw farmhouse and surrounding trees and are inhabited. The applicant can now apply for the destruction of the roosts. For anyone that gets bats in their loft, it's against the law because bats are a protected species, but for a builder it's ok to destroy the habitat. What's the difference??

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: Murray Agnew

Customer Details

Name: Mr R Fox

Address: Millennium forest Greenlawhill Carnoustie Dd7

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Miscellaneous

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: There are 57 houses not 29 there are two applications . One for 29 and one for 28 houses at greenlawhill. D.JLaing is being sneaky and greedy about it and trying to pull the wool over our eyes. If you look at the map. 29 houses planned for the old farm and a further 28 planned for the field the other side of the vets house.

For this reason and the loss of habit for numerous species. I OBJECT.

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: Murray Agnew

Customer Details

Name: Mr Robert Donaldson

Address: 6 McBride Drive Carnoustie DD77SH

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The infrastructure of the area including schooling, medical care etc is already overwhelmed, the addition of more houses and residents will be detrimental to the already stressed systems.

The area is a prime site for native wildlife and should be preserved as such.

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: James Wright

Customer Details

Name: Mr Ross MacCallum

Address: Laburnum Mount Main Street Barry DD77RP

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I have genuine road safety concerns. Although the road is to be widened, much more traffic will be coming out onto the A92 link road. I also object to the road widening, which will destroy a long-established hedgerow there.

There are genuine concerns about extra pressure on health services and education in Carnoustie. Already, our GP practice struggles to cope with existing numbers of residents.

Perhaps my main objection, though, is more agricultural land being built upon and the village becoming swamped by development.

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: James Wright

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Barbara Wilkinson

Address: 29 Kinloch Street Carnoustie DD7 7EL

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Carnoustie does not have the infrastructure to support more housing. The schools, Health Centre and dentists are already stretched. It is increasingly becoming a dormitory town with additional residents contributing nothing to the local economy but placing additional demands on local services.

If more homes are needed they should be affordable to buy and to rent not the big, expensive family homes favoured by developers.

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: Murray Agnew

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Carol Todd

Address: San Melito Coach House Carlogie Carnoustie DD7 6LD

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: These comments apply to both Applications 21/00557/FULL and 21/00558/FULL

As a Local Development Plan allocation, there should be one application for the entire site with appropriate pre-application consultation with the community.

The proposal is also deficient in the information required to determine a detailed application for planning permission. The previous Reporter was clear that this site is in Barry and not Carnoustie and standard house types, such as shown within the Masterplan, are not appropriate for this setting.

The applications should be refused.

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: James Wright

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Carol Venables

Address: 1A Knowes Loan Barry Carnoustie DD7 7RF

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The land is home to numerous wildlife, there are hedgerows along road that would be ripped out to make access roads wider we are continually being told we need to protect our environment yet we keep building all over green spaces. It has to stop especially carnoustie and surrounding areas, medical centre cannot cope with more people, schools are full

We are not a dundee suburb we are a small coastal community with a golf course that needs to stop expanding at the expense of losing fields, wildlife habitat and green spaces for the community

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: James Wright

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Carol Venables

Address: 1A Knowes Loan, Barry Barry Carnoustie

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: This area of land provides habitat for wildlife

Too many areas of green fields are being lost, we don't need more housing the medical centre can't cope as it is and schools are going to be overwhelmed before drainage comes into consideration

Barry is a very small village that doesn't want to be swept up into Carnoustie or Monifieth if more building keeps happening along A92

Time to stop building on greenbacks we need them as does wildlife and eco system

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: Murray Agnew

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Debbie Hamilton

Address: 41 Esk Gardens Carnoustie DD7 6GH

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Carnoustie's infrastructure is at breaking point already, schools are full, doctors surgery is struggling to cope with the population of the town. There are currently new homes already being built. I am seriously concerned the amount of pressure that this is going to put on our community which is already starting to experience problems. That specific site is also a space used by local wildlife, what would the impact of that be? Is there any plans to build another school, doctors surgery, supermarket, petrol surgery to support this vast expansion that Carnoustie is going through? Still more applications for more houses to be built?

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: Murray Agnew

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Jemma Toller

Address: 35 James Street Carnoustie DD77JY

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I am concerned about the environmental impact building further houses in the fields surrounding Carnoustie. Bird life previously seen such as the kestrels are no longer present since the most recent building of houses currently being undertaken on the opposite side of the road. The developers across the road clearly do not care about their impact on nature as were previously felling trees during nesting season for the birds, so unlikely that whoever builds these house will either.

With children in the local school and as a medic I am also concerned that this will have a direct impact on our towns services, health centre and schools which are already struggling. Twenty nine houses may not seem like much compared to the huge development across the road to this site but I suspect it is likely to be the first of many more house built on that side of the road once permission is granted for this number, further applications for more houses may arise and allowing them will set a precedent.

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: Murray Agnew

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Karen MacLeod

Address: 38 Harris Road Carnoustie Dd7 7ns

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The town cannot support these new houses without a larger health centre, more schooling and a petrol station. There is not the facilities locally for more housing.

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: James Wright

Customer Details

Name: Mrs kate hall

Address: pentland cottage man st, barry carnoustie DD7 7RP

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: This planning application is quite clearly half a project, inextricably linked with 21/00558/FULL. It should raise serious concerns in the committee that the applicants have had to resort to this (rather clumsy) underhand tactic in an attempt to get their shady development plans sneaked through.

Accordingly, I request that the committee consider applications 21/00557/FULL AND 21/00558/FULL as one entity.

This (or these) development(s) must be rejected.

It creates a serious and significant contravention of the the Strategic Long Term plan for the area in which it is made clear that Barry must retain its clear status as a separate village from Carnoustie. The proposed project neighbours directly with Ravensby Park Gardens and Corbie Drive, which would obliterate the clear demarcation which currently exists between Carnoustie and Barry.

The number of houses proposed is frankly obscene, and would double the size of Barry at a single stroke. Such a material change to the nature of the village by a single development is wholly unacceptable. Furthermore, the size of the planned properties, the layout of the estate and the building density proposed is not at all in keeping with the current make up of Barry, and would constitute a serious material change in the character of Barry as a village.

There is a highly likely and serious risk that car traffic from the new development would use the village of Barry as a 'rat run' when travelling towards Dundee. Speeding is already an issue, so

increasing the volume of traffic (as this development undoubtedly would) would certainly result in accidents.

There is no need for these houses to be built as the quota is already being more than adequately met by the two massive housing developments already in progress at both Shanwell and Carlogie.

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: Murray Agnew

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Linda Faithful

Address: 1, McGill Road Carnoustie DD7 6BQ

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I strongly object to more housing being built in Carnoustie when the infrastructure cannot cope with the present population. Where are the doctors going to come from?

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: James Wright

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Lynn Barr

Address: 5 Malt Loan Carnoustie DD77GW

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Insufficient capacity already at doctors, bursting at the seams. Schools are full. Shops, bank and petrol station are what is needed, not more houses.

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: Murray Agnew

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Lynsey Smiles

Address: 23 Lochend Road Carnoustie DD7 7QD

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The infrastructure in Carnoustie is already struggling, it is near impossible to see a doctor and my children's school have had to remove their library and other resources to make more class rooms. Just where do we expect all of these new residents to go to school? This looks very much like a financial decision to line the pockets of the already well off to the detriment of the town community. This would also have a negative impact on the local wildlife as it appears to be a greenfield site.

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: Murray Agnew

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Susan Gillespie

Address: 20 Craigmill Gardens Carnoustie Dd7 6ht

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I worry about the infrastructure being affected by all these new builds. Currently very difficult to get a doctors appointment. With family homes school numbers will be an issue. The impact on the countryside and the environment and nature. I didn't object to the number of homes being built initially but now another 60 at Panbride, and now 29 plus at Greenlaw hill, this will have a huge impact on our already bursting at the seams infrastructure.

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: Murray Agnew

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Susan Jackson

Address: 149 Ravensby Road Carnoustie DD77NJ

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Carnoustie can't support the residents already living here.

Flooding will be a major issue! No doctor appointments! it's all getting out of hand!

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: Murray Agnew

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Tracey Ritchie

Address: 19 Alexander Gordon Drive Monifieth Dundee DD5 4HD

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I am writing to register my objection to the above application.

As a Local Development Plan opportunity site which requires comprehensive planning, there should be one application for the entire site, the other half of the site referred to in application 21/00558/FULL with appropriate pre-application consultation with the community. This approach does nothing to help the local community have confidence in the planning system.

By splitting the site into two applications, the applicants have merely sought to prevent full and transparent consultation with the community. In my opinion the applicants should be instructed to withdraw the applications and submit a comprehensive proposal with an associated public event so we can all better understand the full proposals.

Until that happens, these applications should not be supported.

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: Murray Agnew

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Valerie Sheppard

Address: 1 Tayside Street Carnoustie DD7 6AX

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Enough is enough where are all the amenities! MUST ALL OUR COUNTRY SIDE BE DESTROYED BY GREEDY BUILDERS ?

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: James Wright

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Victoria scott

Address: 51 Barry Road Carnoustie DD77QQ

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Improved public services required in Carnoustie before anymore more houses

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: James Wright

Customer Details

Name: Ms Susan Murphy

Address: 83 Queen street Carnoustie DD77SU

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Community Council

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:How can our Infrastructure cope with this increase. Family homes means children- how can schools cope, people we about to lose our post office, cars - we don't have a petrol station.

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: James Wright

Customer Details

Name: Stephen Wood

Address: 26 Corbie Drive Carnoustie DD7 7NU

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The gardens in Corbie Drive and Ravensby Park Gardens that back onto the hill are already flooded with the current soaking conditions. The provision of so much less permeable surface is going to increase the volume of water coming down into these gardens.

Additionally, there is a vast array of wildlife in the area between the top of the hill and these gardens. The work and disruption is going to drive this wildlife away.

Comments for Planning Application 21/00557/FULL

Application Summary

Application Number: 21/00557/FULL

Address: Site At Greenlawhill Farm Greenlaw Terrace Barry

Proposal: Erection of 29 Dwellinghouses, Formation of Access Roads, Open Space, Landscaping and Associated Infrastructure

Case Officer: James Wright

Customer Details

Name: Tracey Wood

Address: 26 Corbie drive Carnoustie DD7 7NU

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Carnoustie does not have the amenities to support anymore houses. Furthermore this will impact the wildlife in the area.

Comment Ref: 21/00557/FULL

Comment type: Objection

Submission time: 09/10/2021 8:16 PM

Comments: The infrastructure in Carnoustie is already struggling, it is near impossible to see a doctor and my children's school have had to remove their library and other resources to make more class rooms. Just where do we expect all of these new residents to go to school? This looks very much like a financial decision to line the pockets of the already well off to the detriment of the town community. This would also have a negative impact on the local wildlife as it appears to be a greenfield site.