

# Equality Impact Assessment: Equality Impact Assessment Petition Traffic Concerns at Baltic Street Mill Street Mount Road and Rosehill Montrose

---

**Author :** Craig Hudson - Manager - Traffic & Transport

**Date of Submission :** 05/06/2025 09:34 - EIA-720547725

**Is this proposal a high-level strategy?** No

**Type of proposal :** other;

**What type of assessment is required?** full

## *Overview*

**Directorate or service. If the proposal involves more than one directorate or service, name the lead one :** Infrastructure & Environment

**Give details of any legislation relevant to this proposal :** N/A

**What is being proposed?** That the Committee note the findings of investigations and consider the engineering options set out in the report and agree to implement advisory signage.

**Is this a new other or a review of an existing other?** New proposal -

## *Impact*

**All the group(s) of people your proposal involves or affects :** Service users, Members of the public

**What internal data, such as customer satisfaction surveys, equality monitoring data and customer complaints, have you gathered to discover what impact (positive or negative) your proposal will have on people?** Speed surveys, traffic count surveys and engagement with local businesses confirm that there is no significant speeding on the

streets noted.

**What internal consultation, for example with staff, trade unions and affected services, have you gathered to discover what impact (positive or negative) your proposal will have on people?** Not Applicable

**What external data, such as census data, equality reports, equality evidence finder, performance reports, research and statistics have you gathered to discover what impact (positive or negative) your proposal will have on people?** A petition of 79 valid signatures was lodged by residents of Baltic Street, Mill Street, Mount Road and Rosehill, Montrose on 17 December 2024, highlighting concerns about speeding vehicles, large vehicles and agricultural machinery. Residents request that members consider various traffic calming/management options.

**What external consultation have you done, with partner organisations, national organisations, other councils, community groups and others, to discover what impact (positive or negative) your proposal will have on people?** Not applicable

**What other consultation (if any) has been conducted that was not included above?**  
Not applicable

### ***Gaps***

**Are there any gaps in the equality information you currently hold?** No

### ***Groups***

**Are there potential differential impacts on particular age groups?** Neutral  
**Reason(s) for your answer :** Neutral or positive impact on people of all ages, but particularly the young and old being able to drive, walk and cycle in a safe road environment.

**Are there potential differential impacts on people with a disability?** Neutral  
**Reason(s) for your answer :** Neutral or positive impact on people with a disability, being able to use the road and footway infrastructure in a safe road environment.

**Are there potential differential impacts on people with a gender reassignment?**  
Neutral  
**Reason(s) for your answer :** Not applicable

**Are there potential differential impacts on people with a marriage or civil partnership status?** Neutral

**Reason(s) for your answer :** Not applicable

**Are there potential differential impacts on people due to their race?**Neutral

**Reason(s) for your answer :** Not applicable

**Are there potential differential impacts on people due to their sex?** Neutral

**Reason(s) for your answer :** Not applicable

**Are there potential differential impacts on people due to pregnancy/maternity?**  
Neutral

**Reason(s) for your answer :** Not applicable

**Are there potential differential impacts on people due to religion or belief?** Neutral

**Reason(s) for your answer :** Not applicable

**Are potential differential impacts on people due to their sexual orientation?**Neutral

**Reason(s) for your answer :** Not applicable

***UNCRC***

**Which of the general principles apply to your proposal?** None ;

***Consultation***

**Have you consulted with any of the protected characteristic groups who are potentially negatively affected by the proposal?** No

**What are your reasons for not consulting with any of the protected characteristic groups who are potentially negatively affected by the proposal?** Not applicable

**How did you do this?**

**What were the results?**

***Negative Impacts***

**What steps will you take to reduce or remove potentially negative impacts?** Not

applicable

### ***Justification***

**If your proposal will have a negative impact, what is your justification for taking it forward?** Not applicable

### ***Public Sector Equality Duty***

**Does this proposal contribute to any, or all, of the public sector equality duty to?** Yes

**We need to know how your proposal does this :** The proposal identifies equality of opportunity and the positive impact in being able to drive, walk or cycle in a safe road environment.

**Is there anything that could be done to advance equalities in relation to this proposal?** Not applicable

***Fairer Scotland Duty:*** Not Applicable

### ***Monitoring***

**How will you monitor and review your assessment in line with your proposal?** Further speed and traffic data to be gathered and monitored.

**Will this equality impact/fairer Scotland Duty/Children's Rights assessment be included with a committee report?** Published with committee report

### ***Approvers***

**Reviewer Approval :** Fiona Thain - thainf@angus.gov.uk

**Review Date :** 10/06/2025 12:36

**Manager Approval :** Matthew Roberts - Service Leader - Roads & Transportation

**Approval Date :** 10/06/2025 12:42